

# 9.24.2025: Math 122 Lecture 7 Notes

Vasily Krylov

## Last Time

We discussed *automorphisms*  $\varphi : G \xrightarrow{\sim} G$ , where  $\varphi$  is defined as an *isomorphism* from a group to itself. We also discussed one nontrivial example of an automorphism:

$$S_3 \xrightarrow{\varphi} S_3$$

where  $x = (123) \mapsto (132) = x^2$  and  $y = (12) \mapsto (12) = y$ . Note that  $\varphi$  is *uniquely* determined by where it maps  $x, y$ . This example is a particular case of a when we take  $g \in G$  such that  $\varphi_g : G \xrightarrow{\sim} G; h \mapsto ghg^{-1}$ . So for  $G = S_3, g = (12)$  gets  $\varphi$  as above.

## Question:

For which groups are automorphisms  $\varphi_g$  all equal to id?

## Answer:

For *commutative* groups ( $ghg^{-1} = gg^{-1}h = h$ ). In general,  $\varphi_g = \text{id}$  if and only if  $ghg^{-1} = h \forall h \in G$ . (Note this is equivalent to  $gh = hg$ .) So  $g$  commutes with every element of  $G$ . Such  $g$  are called *central*.

## Why are automorphisms important?

They allow us to identify different elements of the group.

**Proposition 1.** *Claim if  $\varphi : G \xrightarrow{\sim} G'$  is an automorphism, then for  $g \in G, g' := \varphi(g)$  we have  $\text{ord}(g) = \text{ord}(g')$ .*

*Proof.* If  $g^n = 1$ , then  $\varphi(g^n) = \varphi(1) = 1$ . Note  $\varphi(g^n) = \varphi(g)^n = (g')^n$ .

If  $(g')^m = 1$  then  $(\varphi^{-1})(g'^m) = \varphi^{-1}(1) = 1$ , so  $g^m = \varphi^{-1}(g')^m$ . So  $g^n = 1$  if and only if  $(g')^m = 1$ , which means that  $\text{ord}(g) = \text{ord}(g')$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *If  $x, x' \in G$  are conjugate, i.e.  $\exists g \in G$  such that  $x' = gxg^{-1}$ , then  $\text{ord}(x) = \text{ord}(x')$ .*

This means that, for example,  $(12), (123) \in S_3$  are *not* conjugate.

**Exercise 1.** *Cycles  $(k_1, \dots, k_n), (p_1, \dots, p_m) \in S_N$  are conjugate if and only if  $n = m$ .*

**Example 1.**  $(1423) = (243)(1234)(234)$ , where we note that  $(234) = (243)^{-1}$ .

So in general:

$$\sigma \cdot (p_1 \dots, p_m) \cdot \sigma^{-1} = (\sigma(p_1) \dots \sigma(p_m)).$$

Work this out!

# Back to homomorphisms

An isomorphism is *bijective*. A homomorphism is in general neither *injective* nor *surjective*. Given homomorphism  $\varphi : G \rightarrow G'$ , how do we “make” it surjective?

Replace  $G'$  by the *image* of  $\varphi$ .

**Proposition 2.**  $\text{im } \varphi = \{\varphi(g) \mid g \in G\}$ , where this is a subgroup of  $G'$ .

*Proof.* As an exercise, let’s check that  $\text{im } \varphi \subset G'$  is closed under multiplication.

$$\varphi(g_1) \cdot \varphi(g_2) = \varphi(g_1 g_2) \in \text{im } \varphi,$$

so it is closed. □

**Example 2.**  $\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbb{Z}, n \mapsto 2n$ . Then  $\text{im}(\varphi) = 2\mathbb{Z}$ . Similarly, take  $(\mathbb{R}, +) \xrightarrow{\varphi} (\mathbb{R}^\times, \cdot)$ . If  $t \mapsto 2^t$ , then  $\text{im}(\varphi) = \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ , i.e. the positive real numbers.

So if  $\varphi : G \rightarrow G'$ , then we can replace  $G'$  by  $\text{im}(\varphi) =: S$  and write  $\varphi : G \rightarrow S$ . Note that  $\varphi$  is still *not* an isomorphism in general: although it is *surjective*, it can fail to be *injective*.

**How do we “measure” the non-injectivity of homomorphism  $\varphi : G \rightarrow S$ ?**

The answer is that the non-injectivity of  $\varphi$  is “controlled” by  $\ker \varphi = \{g \in G \mid \varphi(g) = 1_S\}$ . Namely,  $\varphi$  is injective if and only if  $\ker \varphi = \{1_G\}$ , which we will prove.

**Example 3.**  $\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, m \mapsto m \pmod n$ . Then  $\ker \varphi = n\mathbb{Z}$ , a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

In general:

**Proposition 3.**  $\ker \varphi$  is a subgroup of  $G$ .

*Proof.* Let’s prove that  $\ker \varphi$  is closed under multiplication.  $a, b \in \ker \varphi$  means that  $\varphi(a) = \varphi(b) = 1$ . We want  $ab \in \ker \varphi$ : and

$$\varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b) = 1 \cdot 1 = 1,$$

as desired. □

**Proposition 4.**  $\varphi : G \rightarrow G'$  is a homomorphism,  $a, b \in G$ . The following conditions are equivalent:

1.  $\varphi(a) = \varphi(b)$ .
2.  $a^{-1}b \in \ker \varphi$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\rightarrow$  (2) if  $\varphi(a) = \varphi(b)$  then  $\varphi(a)\varphi(b)^{-1} = 1 = \varphi(ab^{-1})$ . Then  $ab^{-1} \in \ker \varphi$ , as desired.

(2)  $\rightarrow$  (1) if  $\varphi(ab^{-1}) = 1$  then  $\varphi(a)\varphi(b)^{-1} = 1$ , so  $\varphi(a) = \varphi(b)$ . □

**Corollary 2.**  $\varphi$  is injective if and only if  $\ker \varphi = \{1_G\}$ .

*Proof.* If  $\varphi$  is injective, then  $|\varphi^{-1}(\{1\})| \leq 1$ . The kernel must contain  $1_G$ , so then  $\ker \varphi = \{1_G\}$ . Now if  $\ker \varphi = \{1\}$ , we want to check that if  $\varphi(a) = \varphi(b)$  then  $a = b$ . We know that  $\varphi(a) = \varphi(b)$  if and only if  $a^{-1}b \in \ker \varphi = \{1\}$ , so  $a^{-1}b = 1$  and  $a = b$ , as desired. □

**Corollary 3.** Take  $\varphi : G \mapsto S$ , a surjective homomorphism. Then  $\forall x \in S$ ,  $\varphi^{-1}(x) \cong \ker \varphi$ , which are isomorphic as sets (i.e. there exists a bijective map between them). In particular,

$$G = \bigcup_{x \in S} \varphi^{-1}(x),$$

which is a disjoint decomposition into sets of the same size.

*Proof.* We want to identify  $\varphi^{-1}(x) \cong \ker \varphi$ . Pick any  $a \in G$  such that  $\varphi(a) = x$ . Then  $b \in \varphi^{-1}(x)$  if and only if  $\varphi(b) = x = \varphi(a)$  which is true if and only if  $a^{-1}b \in \ker \varphi$  by the earlier proposition. So (left) multiplication by  $a^{-1}$  defines the identification

$$\varphi^{-1}(x) \xrightarrow{\sim} \ker \phi.$$

□

**Question:** Who is the inverse?

**Answer:** Left multiplication by  $a$ ! In particular

$$\ker \varphi \xrightarrow{\sim, a} \varphi^{-1}(x)$$

## Important corollary

**Corollary 4.** If  $\varphi : G \rightarrow G'$  is some arbitrary homomorphism of finite groups, then  $\#G = \#\text{im}(\varphi) \cdot \#\ker \varphi$ . We will use this corollary next time to describe the kernel of some interesting morphism.

*Proof.*  $G \rightarrow \text{im}(\varphi)$  is a surjective homomorphism. So by the corollary above,

$$G = \bigcup_{x \in \text{im}(\varphi)} \varphi^{-1}(x),$$

where all have the same number of elements equal to  $\#\ker \varphi$ . Then

$$\#G = \sum_{x \in \text{im}(\varphi)} \#\varphi^{-1}(x) = \sum_{x \in \text{im}(\varphi)} \#\ker \varphi = \#\ker \varphi \cdot \#\text{im}(\varphi).$$

□