

Lecture 21

Last time: - Defined rings $(R, +, \cdot)$

$$\begin{cases} (R, +) \text{ \& abelian group} \\ (R, \cdot) \text{ \& monoid} \\ a \cdot (b+c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c; (b+c) \cdot a = b \cdot a + c \cdot a \end{cases}$$

- Examples: $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, \text{Mat}_{2 \times 2} \dots$

- Defined polynomial ring $R[x]$ ^{arbitrary ring}
 $\{a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n\}$

- Discussed division with remainder in $R[x]$:

$$f(x), h(x) \in R[x], \quad h(x) \text{ \& monic}$$

\Leftrightarrow

$$\exists! q(x), r(x) \in R[x] \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \deg r(x) < \deg h(x)$$

$$f(x) = q(x) \cdot h(x) + r(x)$$

Lemma α is a root of $f(x)$ iff $(f(\alpha) = 0)$

$$f(x) = (x - \alpha) \cdot q(x) \quad \text{for some } q(x) \in R[x]$$

proof. if $f(x) = (x - \alpha) \cdot q(x)$

\Leftrightarrow

$$f(\alpha) = (\alpha - \alpha) \cdot q(\alpha) = 0 \quad \checkmark$$

if $f(\alpha) = 0$ then let's divide $f(x)$ by $x - \alpha$:

$$f(x) = (x - \alpha) q(x) + r(x)$$

q polynomial of

$$\deg r(x) < \deg(x - \alpha)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \deg r(x) < 1$$

$$\deg r(x) = 0 \Rightarrow r(x) = r \in R$$

just an element
of R

$$\text{So } f(x) = (x - \alpha) \cdot q(x) + r = r$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \parallel & \\ & & \smile \\ & & r=0 \end{array}$$

We get $f(x) = (x - \alpha)q(x) \checkmark$

Definition R a ring has no zero divisors
 if $\forall a, b \in R \setminus \{0\}, a \cdot b \neq 0$.

Examples: $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ a no zero divisors

In general: if R a division ring
 (in particular, field) \Rightarrow
 $\Rightarrow R$ a has no zero divisors

Proof: if $a, b \in R \setminus \{0\} \Rightarrow a, b \in R^\times \Rightarrow$

$$\Rightarrow ab \in R^{\times} \Rightarrow ab \neq 0$$

Question: do we have zero divisors in

$$\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}?$$

Answer: yes $\&$ $2 \cdot 3 = 0!$

In general, if n is not prime



$\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ $\&$ has zero divisors

(take any $k|n$, $k \neq 1, n \Rightarrow k \cdot \frac{n}{k} = 0$)

How about $\text{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$?

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

\mathbb{R} \mathbb{R}
zero divisors

(for example, $R = \text{field}$)

Thm: assume R has no zero divisors.

Fix $f(x) \in R[x]$, then:

$$\# \text{ roots of } f \leq \deg f$$

proof. Induction on $\deg f = n$.

Induction step: pick α any root of f

Use Lemma above:

$$f(x) = (x - \alpha) \cdot q(x)$$

$\curvearrowright \deg(q) = n - 1$

Claim: $\{\text{roots of } f\} \stackrel{(*)}{=} \{\text{roots of } q\} \cup \{\alpha\}$

$$\text{If } \beta \neq \alpha \stackrel{\Leftarrow}{=} \underset{0}{=} f(\beta) = (\beta - \alpha) \cdot q(\beta)$$

Note: $\beta^{-1} \neq 0$ (our assumption)

\Downarrow

$q(\beta) = 0$ (use that R has no zero divisors)

q

i.e. $\beta \in \{\text{roots of } q\}$

(*) follows

(*) implies that induction hypothesis

$$\begin{aligned} \# \text{roots of } f &\leq \#(\text{roots of } q) + 1 \leq \\ &\leq (n-1) + 1 = n \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

Next time: will use Thm. above to

prove that $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ is cyclic

(more generally, R^\times is cyclic for any

finite field)

Another important example
of a ring.

It turns out that starting with
arbitrary monoid G and arbitrary
ring R one can associate to

this pair a ring RG

it's called monoid ring

(if G a group we call it group ring)

Definition

$$RG = \left\{ \overset{\text{formal sums}}{\downarrow} r_1 g_1 + r_2 g_2 + \dots + r_n g_n \mid r_i \in R \right\}$$

Let's define ring structure on RG :

any two elements of RG can be

written in the form:

$$a_1 g_1 + \dots + a_n g_n, \quad b_1 g_1 + \dots + b_n g_n \quad \text{for some } g_1, \dots, g_n$$

(a_i, b_i can be zero!)

Then the sum of these elements is:

$$(a_1 + b_1) g_1 + \dots + (a_n + b_n) g_n$$

Product is defined as follows:

$$(a_1 g_1 + \dots + a_n g_n) \cdot (b_1 g_1 + \dots + b_n g_n) =$$

$$= \sum_{i,j} a_i g_i \cdot b_j g_j = \sum_{\substack{g \in G \\ g \neq \emptyset}} \left(\sum_{\substack{g_i \cdot g_j = \\ = g}} (a_i b_j) \right) g$$

commute

compare with what we
did for $R[x]$!

Claim: $(RG, +, \cdot)$ is a ring

proof similar argument as the one
you use to prove that $(R[x], +, \cdot)$ is a ring
prove this in PSet 8

Example: $R[x]$ is a particular

case of RG .

For which G ?

Take $G = \underline{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$

Then $RG \cong \{ \tau_0 \cdot 0 + \tau_1 \cdot 1 + \dots + \tau_{n-1} \cdot n \}$
 is $R[x] \cong \{ \tau_0 + \tau_1 \cdot x + \dots + \tau_{n-1} \cdot x^n \}$

product in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$

is exactly the product
 in $\{1, x, x^2, \dots\}$

(i.e. $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cong \{1, x, x^2, \dots\}$)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{N} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & X^{\mathbb{N}} \\ & \searrow & \uparrow \\ & & \text{isomorphism of monoids} \end{array}$$

Example of group algebra.

Take $G = D_8 = \langle \tau, s \rangle / \langle \tau^4 = s^2 = 1, \tau s = s \tau^{-1} \rangle$

$$\{ \tau^k s^m \mid k=1,2,3,4, m=0,1 \}$$

Take $R = \mathbb{Z}$

Then elements:

$$\alpha = r + r^2 - 2s \quad ; \quad \beta = -3r^2 + rs$$

are typical elements
of $\mathbb{Z}D_8$

Let's compute their sum and product:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha + \beta &= (r + r^2 - 2s) + (-3r^2 + rs) = \\ &= r - 2r^2 - 2s + rs \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \cdot \beta &= (r + r^2 - 2s) \cdot (-3r^2 + rs) = \\ &= r \cdot (-3r^2 + rs) + r^2 \cdot (-3r^2 + rs) - \\ &\quad - 2s \cdot (-3r^2 + rs) = \end{aligned}$$

$$= -3r^3 + r^2s - 3 + r^3s + \underbrace{6sr^2}_{6r^2s} - \underbrace{2srs}_{2r^3}$$

//

$$-3r^3 + r^2s - 3 + r^3s + 6r^2s - 2r^3$$

//

$$\underline{-5r^3 - 3 + 7r^2s + r^3s}$$

Remarks: get lots of examples

of noncommutative rings:

if G is noncommutative, $R = \mathbb{Z}$

$\mathbb{Z} \langle G \rangle$ is noncommutative

Indeed: take $g_1, g_2 \in G$ s.t. $g_1g_2 \neq g_2g_1$

\Downarrow

g_1, g_2 considered as elements of RG do not commute

Also note that if G is a group



$$G \subset (RG)^{\times}$$

embedding of groups

(indeed, if $g \in G$, then it's invertible in RG with inverse g^{-1})

Module over a ring

Remember that for groups G we had a (very useful) notion of G

acting on some set X

It turns out that there is a similar notion for rings.

This is called module over a ring.

Definition R a ring, a module over R is a set M together with:

$$(1) + : M \times M \rightarrow M$$

$$(2) \cdot : R \times M \rightarrow M \text{ a } \underline{\text{action}} \text{ s.t.}$$

$(M, +)$ a abelian group

$$(\Gamma + S) \cdot m = \Gamma \cdot m + S \cdot m \quad \forall \Gamma, S \in R, m \in M$$

$$(\Gamma \cdot S) \cdot m = \Gamma \cdot (S \cdot m)$$

$$\Gamma \cdot (m + n) = \Gamma m + \Gamma n$$

$$1 \cdot m = m$$

Example: \mathbb{Z} -modules are abelian groups