

Lecture Notes Math 371: Algebra (Fall 2006)

by Nathanael Leedom Ackerman

October 17, 2006

TALK SLOWLY AND WRITE NEATLY!!**0.1 Integral Domains and Fraction Fields****0.1.1 Theorems**

Now what we are going to do is to consider ways in which a ring R can be embedded in a field F . Now we saw previously that if $a \in R$ is a zero divisor then we can't adjoin an inverse without killing some elements. And so we can't embed a ring with zero divisors into a field. This turns out to be the only obstacle though.

Integral Domain

Definition 0.1.1.1. A ring R is an integral domain if it has no zero divisors. I.e. $0 \neq 1$ and if $ab = 0$ then $a = 0$ or $b = 0$.

Cancellation Law

Theorem 0.1.1.2. *If R is an integral domain then it satisfies the cancellation law:*

$$(\forall a, b, c)(a \neq 0) \wedge (ab = ac) \rightarrow (b = c)$$

Proof. We have $ab - ac = a(b - c) = 0$ so $b - c = 0$ as $a \neq 0$. □

Integral Domain of Polynomials

Theorem 0.1.1.3. *Let R be an integral domain. Then $R[x]$ is an integral domain.*

Proof. ***** □

Finite Integral Domain is a Field

Theorem 0.1.1.4. *An integral domain with finitely many elements is a field.*

Proof. ***** □

Field of Fractions

Theorem 0.1.1.5. *Let R be an integral domain. Then there exists an embedding $\phi : R \rightarrow F$ into a field F*

Proof. The way we are going to show this is to mimic how the rational numbers are created from the integers.

Definition of Field of Fractions

Definition 0.1.1.6. A Fraction will be a pair a/b where $a, b \in R$ and $b \neq 0$. Two fractions $a_1/b_1, a_2/b_2$ are called equivalent $a_1/b_1 \sim a_2/b_2$ if $a_1b_2 = a_2b_1$.

First we want to check that \sim is an equivalence relations.

Reflexivity and Symmetry are obvious so all that is left is transitivity.

Suppose $a_1/b_1 \sim a_2/b_2$ and $a_2/b_2 \sim a_3/b_3$. We then have $a_1b_2 = a_2b_1$ and $a_2b_3 = a_3b_2$. Hence $a_1b_2b_3 =$

$a_2b_1b_3 = a_3b_2b_1$ by the assumptions. But because we are in an integral domain we can cancel the b_1 and we get

$$a_2b_3 = a_3b_2$$

and hence \sim is an equivalence relation.

The Field of Fractions of R is defined to be the set of equivalence classes of fractions of R . We define

$$(a/b)(c/d) = ac/bd, \quad a/b + c/d = \frac{ad + bc}{bd}$$

We have to check that the axioms of a field are satisfied and that replacing $a/b, c/d$ by equivalent elements doesn't change the answer, but this is easy and we won't do it here. □

As an example consider the the case of the ring $K[x]$ where K is an integral domain. Then in this case the field of fractions is

$$K(x) = \{[f/g] : f, g \text{ are polynomials with } g \neq 0 \text{ and } [f/g] \text{ is the equ}\}$$

Extending a map onto field of fractions

Theorem 0.1.1.7. *Let R be an integral domain with field of fractions F and let $\varphi : R \rightarrow K$ be any injective homomorphism from R into a field K . Then the rule*

$$\Phi(a/b) = \phi(a)\phi(b)^{-1}$$

defines the unique extension of φ to a homomorphism from $F \rightarrow K$.

Proof. First we need to check that this extension is well defined. Since the denominator in a/b is not allowed to be zero and since φ is injective we have $\varphi(b) \neq 0$ for all a/b . Hence $\varphi(b)$ is invertible in K . and hence $\varphi(a)\varphi(b)^{-1}$ is an element of K .

Next we need to check that equivalent fractions have the same image. But $a_1/b_1 \sim a_2/b_2 \leftrightarrow a_1b_2 = a_2b_1$

and hence $\varphi(a_1)\varphi(b_2) = \varphi(a_2)\varphi(b_1)$ and $\Phi(a_1/b_1) = \varphi(a_1)\varphi(b_1)^{-1} = \varphi(a_2)\varphi(b_2)^{-1} = \Phi(a_2/b_2)$ as required.

Hence Φ is a homomorphism. And showing that Φ is unique is easy. \square

0.2 Maximal Ideals

0.2.1 Definitions

Now let's look at surjective maps from a ring R to a field F . If $\varphi : R \rightarrow F$ is such a map then we know by the first isomorphism theorem that $F \cong R/\ker(\varphi)$. So in particular we can recover F, φ from $R, \ker(\varphi)$.

So we must look at ideals M such that R/M is a field. By the Correspondence Theorem (4.3) the ideals of R/M correspond to the ideals of R which contain M . So R/M is a field if R has exactly two ideals containing M .

I.e. M, R .

Maximal Ideal

Definition 0.2.1.1. An ideal M is maximal if $M \neq R$ but M is not contained in any other ideals than M, R .

Quotient by Maximal Ideal

Lemma 0.2.1.2. *An ideal M is maximal if and only if R/M is a field. And the zero ideal is maximal if and only if R is a field.*

Proof. This is immediate from the definition of maximal ideal. □

0.2.2 Examples

Maximal Ideals in Integers

Theorem 0.2.2.1. *The maximal ideals of the ring \mathbb{Z} of integers are the principle ideals generated by the prime integers.*

Proof. If (a) is an ideal and $(a) \subseteq (b)$ an ideal, then a divides b . □

Maximal Ideals in Polynomial rings over \mathbb{C}

Theorem 0.2.2.2. *The maximal ideals of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[x]$ are the principle ideals generated by the linear polynomials $x - a$. The ideal generated by $x - a$ is the kernel of the substitution homomorphism $s_a : \mathbb{C}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ sending $f(x) \rightsquigarrow f(a)$. So there is a bijective correspondence between maximal ideals in $\mathbb{C}[x]$ and \mathbb{C} .*

Proof. First notice that if M is a maximal ideal then we know that M is a principle ideal generated by a monic polynomial f of least degree (Because $\mathbb{C}[x]$ is a PID). But since every complex polynomial has a root, f is divisible by some $x - a$. But then f is in the principle ideal $(x - a)$

and hence $M \subseteq (x - a)$ so in fact $M = (x - a)$ as M is maximal.

Next we show that the kernel of the substitution homomorphism s_a is generated by $(x - a)$. To say that a polynomial f is in the kernel of s_a is to say that a is a root of g or that $x - a$ divides g . Thus $(x - a)$ generates s_a . Since the image of s_a is a field this shows that $(x - a)$ is a maximal ideal. \square

Hilbert's Nullstellensatz

Theorem 0.2.2.3 (Hilbert's Nullstellensatz). *The maximal ideals of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ are in a bijective correspondence with points of complex n -dimensional space. A point $\bar{a} = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ in \mathbb{C}^n corresponds to the kernel of the substitution map $s_a : \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which sends $f(\mathbf{x}) \rightsquigarrow f(\bar{a})$. The*

Kernel M_a of this map is the ideal generated by the linear polynomials

$$x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n$$

Proof. Let $a \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and let M_a be the kernel of the substitution map s_a . Since s_a is surjective and \mathbb{C} is a field M_a is a maximal ideal. Next let us verify that M_a is generated by the linear polynomials as asserted. To do so we expand $f(x)$ in powers of $x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n$ writing

$$f(x) = f(a) + \sum_i c_i(x_i - a_i) + \sum_{i,j} c_{ij}(x_i - a_i)(x_j - a_j) + \dots$$

The existence of such an expansion can be gotten by letting $x = a + u$ and substituting it into $f(x)$ and then replacing every u with $x - a$. Now notice that every term on the right except $f(a)$ is divisible by at least 1 of $(x_i - a_i)$. So if f is in the kernel of s_a , i.e. $f(a) = 0$ then $f(x)$ is in

the ideal which is generated by $(x_1 - a_1), \dots, (x_n - a_n)$.

Hence $(\{x_i - a_i : i \leq n\})$ generate M_a .

Next we have to prove that every maximal ideal is of the form $(\{x_i - a_i : i \leq n\})$ for some point $a \in \mathbb{C}^n$. To do so let M be a maximal ideal and let K denote the field $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/M$. We consider the restrictions of the canonical map $\pi : \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow K$ to the subring $\pi_i : \mathbb{C}[x_i] \rightarrow K$

Lemma 0.2.2.4. *The kernel of π_i is either 0 or else it is a maximal ideal.*

Proof. Assume the kernel isn't 0 and $0 \neq f \in \ker(\pi_i)$. Now since K isn't the zero ring $\ker(\pi_i)$ isn't the whole ring. So f is non-constant and hence divisible by a linear polynomial. Say $f = (x_i - a_i)g$.

Then $\pi(f) = \pi(x_i - a_i)\pi(g) = 0$ in K . Since K is a field either $\pi(x_i - a_i) = 0$ or $\pi(g) = 0$. So either $(x_i - a_i) \in \ker(\pi_i)$ or $g(x_i) \in \ker(\pi_i)$. So by induction on the degree of f $\ker(\pi_i)$ contains a linear polynomial. \square

We are now going to show that $\ker(\pi_i)$ isn't the zero ideal and hence M contains a linear polynomial of the form $(x_i - a_i)$.

Because i was arbitrary this will then show that M is contained in the kernel of a substitution map and hence must be equal to the kernel as it is a maximal ideal.

Suppose $\ker(\pi_i) = 0$. Then π_i maps $\mathbb{C}[x_i]$ isomorphically to its image which is a subring of K . Hence π_i can be extended to the field of fractions of $\mathbb{C}[x_i]$. Hence K contains a subfield isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}(x)$, the field of ratio-

nal functions.

Now the monomials $\mathbf{x}^i = x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n}$ form a basis for $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ as a vector space of \mathbb{C} . So in particular $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ has a countable basis as a vector space over \mathbb{C} . And, as K is a quotient of $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ there is a countable family which spans K (namely the residue of the \mathbf{x}^i).

However we will show that there are uncountably many linearly independent elements of $\mathbb{C}(x)$. It will follow that $\mathbb{C}(x)$ can't be isomorphic to a subfield of K .

We need the assumption that \mathbb{C} is uncountable and then the following two lemmas.

Lemma 0.2.2.5. *The uncountably many rational functions $(x - \alpha)^{-1}, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ are linearly independent.*

Proof. A rational function f/g defines an actual function by evaluation at points of the complex plane where $g \neq 0$. The rational function $(x - \alpha)^{-1}$ has a pole at α , which means that it takes on arbitrarily large values near α . It is also bounded near any other point.

Now consider the following linear combination.

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{c_i}{x - \alpha_i}$$

where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ are distinct complex numbers and say $c_1 \neq 0$. Then the first term is unbounded near α while the other functions are all bounded near it. Hence the linear combination doesn't define the zero function. \square

Lemma 0.2.2.6. *Let V be a vector space which is spanned by a countable family $\{v_1, \dots, v_n, \dots\}$ of vectors. Then every set L of linearly independent vectors in V is finite or countably infinite.*

Proof. Let L be a linearly independent subset of V and let V_n be the span of the first n vectors and let $L_n = L \cap V_n$. So L_n is a linearly independent subset of a finite dimensional vector space and hence L_n is finite. Moreover,

$$L = \bigcup_n L_n$$

. And, the union of countably many finite sets is finite or countable. □

□

0.3 TODO

- Come up with A BUNCH of examples (more than I can use) so that I don't run out of time.

- Go through Lang's book on the same topics.