

# Lecture Notes Math 371: Algebra (Fall 2006)

by Nathanael Leedom Ackerman

November 9, 2006

**TALK SLOWLY AND WRITE NEATLY!!**

## 0.1 Symmetric Functions

Galois theory is concerned with determining the permutations of the roots of a polynomial which extend to a field automorphism. Now we will consider a simple situation in which every permutation extends. I.e. when the roots are independent variables.

Let  $R$  be any ring and consider  $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . A permutation  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  can be made to operate on polynomials by simply permuting the variables. Notationally we will keep automorphisms on the left (so  $\sigma$  operates by inverse permutation). So

$$f = f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \xrightarrow{\sigma} f(x_{1\sigma^{-1}}, \dots, x_{n\sigma^{-1}}) = \sigma f$$

This clearly leads to an  $R$ -automorphism on the polynomial ring  $R[\mathbf{x}]$ . So we see that the symmetric group  $S_n$  operates by  $R$ -automorphism on  $R[\mathbf{x}]$

Symmetric Polynomial

**Definition 0.1.0.1.** A polynomial is called symmetric if it is left fixed by all permutations.

Elementary Symmetric Functions

**Definition 0.1.0.2.** There are  $n$  symmetric polynomials with integer coefficients called the elementary symmetric functions  $s$

$$s_1 = x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n$$

$$s_2 = x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + \cdots + x_{n-1}x_n = \sum_{i < j} x_i x_j$$

$$s_3 = \sum_{i < j < k} x_i x_j x_k$$

...

$$s_n = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n$$

They are the coefficients of the polynomial

$$p(x) = (x-x_1)(x-x_2) \cdots (x-x_n) = x^n - s_1 x^{n-1} + \cdots \pm s_n$$

The main theorem of symmetric functions says that the elementary symmetric functions generates the ring of all symmetric functions.

Symmetric Polynomials in term of Elementary Polynomials

**Theorem 0.1.0.3.** *Every symmetric polynomial  $g(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in R[\mathbf{x}]$  can be written in a unique way as a polynomial in the elementary symmetric functions  $s_1, \dots, s_n$ . In other words, let  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  be variables. For each symmetric polynomial  $g(\mathbf{x})$  there is a unique polynomial  $\varphi(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in R[z_1, \dots, z_n]$  such that*

$$g(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \varphi(s_1, \dots, s_n)$$

*Proof.* In the case  $n = 1$  there is nothing to show as  $u_1 = s_1$ .

By induction lets assume the theorem is proved for  $n - 1$

variables to show it is true with  $n$  variables.

Given a symmetric polynomial  $f$  in  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  we consider the polynomial  $f^0$  obtained by substituting 0 for the last variable

$$f^0(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}) = f(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}, 0)$$

We note that  $f^0$  can be expressed as a polynomial in the elementary functions in  $\{u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}\}$  by induction. Denote the elementary symmetric polynomials in  $\{u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}\}$  by

$$s_1^0 = u_1 + \dots + u_{n-1}, \dots, s_{n-1}^0 = u_1 \dots u_{n-1}$$

Let  $f^0 = g(s_1^0, \dots, s_{n-1}^0)$ . Moreover it follows from the definition of the polynomials  $s_i$  that  $s_i^0 = s_i(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}, 0)$  if  $i \leq n - 1$ .

Consider

$$p(u_1, \dots, u_n) = f((u_1, \dots, u_n) - g(s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}))$$

As this is the difference of symmetric polynomials, it is itself symmetric. Also, it has the property that  $p(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}, 0) = 0$ . Hence every monomial polynomial in  $p(u_1, \dots, u_n)$  is divisible by  $u_n$ .

So by symmetry  $p(u_1, \dots, u_n)$  is divisible by  $u_i$  for all  $i$ . Hence

$$f(u_1, \dots, u_n) = g(s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}) + s_n h(u_1, \dots, u_n)$$

for some symmetric polynomial  $h$ .

We can then do induction on the degree of  $h$  to see that  $h$  is a polynomial in the symmetric functions and hence so is  $f$ .

So all that is left is to prove the uniqueness of  $\varphi(s_1, \dots, s_n) = f(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ . In other words the kernel of

$$\sigma : R[z] \rightarrow R[u], \quad z_i \rightsquigarrow s_i$$

is zero. To show this suppose that  $\varphi(s_1, \dots, s_n) = 0$  for some  $\varphi \in R[z]$ . Setting  $u_n = 0$  we still get 0. I.e.  $\varphi(s_1^0, \dots, s_{n-1}^0, 0) = 0$ . By induction on  $n$  this implies  $\varphi(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}, 0) = 0$ . Therefore  $z_n$  divides  $\varphi(z_1, \dots, z_n)$  and  $\varphi(z) = z_n \psi(z)$  and so  $0 = \varphi(s) = s_n \psi(s) = u_1 \cdots u_n \psi(s)$ . And, since  $u_1 \cdots u_n$  is not a zero divisor in  $R[u]$ , we must have  $\psi(s) = 0$ . But the polynomial  $\psi(z)$  has lower total degree in  $z$  than  $\varphi(z)$  so we can apply induction to the degree to conclude  $\psi = 0$  and hence  $\varphi = 0$ . □

For example

$$\sum_i u_i^2 = s_1^2 - 2s_2$$

Definition of Discriminant

**Definition 0.1.0.4.** the discriminant of the polynomial  $p(x)$  is defined to be

$$D = \prod_{i < j} (u_i - u_j)^2 = (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} \prod_{i \neq j} (u_i - u_j)$$

This is probably the most important elementary polynomial. Symmetric Polynomials are Independent

**Corollary 0.1.0.5.** *There are no polynomial relations among the elementary symmetric functions  $s_1, \dots, s_n$ . Equivalently, the subring  $R[s_1, \dots, s_n]$  of  $R[\mathbf{x}]$  generated by  $\{s_i\}$  is isomorphic to the polynomial ring  $R[z_1, \dots, z_n]$*

*Proof.* Immediate from previous theorem. □

Now suppose that  $R = F$  is a field. We can form the field of fractions of  $F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and  $S_n$  acts on this field as well (by permuting the variables). We then have the following theorem.

Rational Symmetric Polynomial

**Theorem 0.1.0.6.** *Every symmetric rational function is a rational function in  $s_1, \dots, s_n$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $r(u) = f(u)/g(u)$  be a symmetric rational function, where  $f, g \in F[u]$ . We can build a symmetric function from  $g$  by multiplying all the  $\sigma g$  together

$$G = \prod_{\sigma \in S_n} \sigma g$$

is a symmetric polynomial. Then  $G(u)r(u)$  is a symmetric rational function and it is also a polynomial in  $\{u_1, \dots, u_r\}$  and hence is a symmetric polynomial.

By the previous theorem  $G(u)$  and  $G(u)r(u)$  are polynomials in the elementary functions  $\{s_i\}$ . Thus  $r(u)$  is a rational function in  $\{s_i\}$  □

Now consider the pair of fields

$$F(f) = F(s_1, \dots, s_n) \subset F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = F(\mathbf{x})$$

We see that  $F[\mathbf{x}]$  is a Galois extension of  $F(f)$ . This follows because  $F(\mathbf{x})$  is a splitting field of the polynomial  $p(x)$  and because the roots are distinct.

We know from a previous result that the Galois group  $G(F(\mathbf{x})/F(f))$  operates faithfully on the roots of  $p(x)$ . However  $G$  also contains the full symmetric group  $S_n$  by the construction. So  $G = S_n$  and  $|G| = [F(\mathbf{x}) : F(f)] = n!$ .

## 0.2 Primitive Elements

Recall from the case of finite fields that we had a primitive element  $a \in \mathcal{F}_q$  is one such that  $(\forall b \in \mathcal{F}_q - \{0\})(\exists n \in \omega)$  such that  $a^n = b$ . And in particular such that  $\mathcal{F}_q = \mathcal{F}_p(a)$ . We now want to generalize that to the case of characteristic 0

Existence of Primitive Elements

**Theorem 0.2.0.7** (Existence of a primitive element).

*Let  $K$  be a finite extension of a field  $F$  of characteris-*

tic 0. there is an element  $\gamma \in K$  such that  $K = F(\gamma)$ .

Definition of Primitive Element

**Definition 0.2.0.8.** We call an element  $\gamma \in K$  such that  $F(\gamma) = K$  a primitive element for  $K$  over  $F$ .

Notice that the assumption the field has characteristic  $p$  is important as in characteristic 0 this theorem isn't true (although for finite fields it is).

*Proof.* We will do this by induction on the number of generators of  $K$ . Say  $KK = F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ .

If  $n = 1$  then we are done

So we may assume that  $F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$  is generated by a single element  $\beta$  and hence  $K = F(\beta, \alpha_n)$ . So we have thereby reduced to the case when  $n = 2$ .

Lets assume  $K = F(\alpha, \beta)$  and let  $f(x), g(x)$  be the irreducible polynomials of  $\alpha, \beta$  over  $F$ . Let  $K'$  be an extension of  $K$  such that  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  split completely and let  $\alpha = \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  and  $\beta = \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m$  be their roots. We then know that the roots are distinct.

Now let  $\gamma = \beta + c\alpha$  for  $c \in F$ . Further let  $L = F(\gamma)$ . So it suffices to show that  $\alpha \in L$  as then  $\beta = \gamma - c\alpha \in L$  and so  $L = K$ .

We will do this by determining the irreducible polynomial of  $\alpha$  over  $L$ . This is the monic polynomial of least degree in  $L[x]$  which has  $\alpha$  as a root.

Now we know that  $\alpha$  is a root of  $f(x)$ . Now the key is to realize that  $h(x) = g(\gamma - cx)$  also has  $\alpha$  as a root and has coefficients in  $L$ . So we need to show that the

greatest common divisor of  $f$  and  $h$  in  $L$  is  $(x - \alpha)$ . It will then follow that  $-\alpha$ , being one of the coefficients of  $(\mathbf{x} - \alpha)$  is in  $L$ .

Now we know that the monic greatest common divisor of  $f$  and  $h$  is the same no matter if it is computed in  $L$  or in  $K'$ . So we may make our computation in  $K'[x]$ . In that ring  $f$  is a product of linear factors  $(x - \alpha_i)$  and so it suffices to show that none of them divide  $h$  except for  $\alpha = \alpha_1$ .

So all that is left is to compute the roots of  $h$ . Since the roots of  $g$  are the  $\beta_i$  the roots of  $h(x) = g(\gamma - cx)$  are obtained by solving the equations

$$\gamma - cx = \beta_i$$

for  $x$ . Since  $\gamma = \beta + c\alpha$  the roots are  $\gamma - \beta_j/c =$

$(\beta - \beta_j)/c + \alpha$ . We want these roots to be different from  $\alpha_i$  for all  $i \neq 1$ . This will be the case as long as  $c$  is not one of the finite values

$$-(\beta_j - \beta)/(\alpha_i - \alpha)$$

with  $i, j \neq 1, 1$ . □

This is an important result because it then allows us to use the tools we have developed for studying extensions by a single element to study arbitrary finite extensions of a field.

Permutations of a root

**Theorem 0.2.0.9.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group of automorphisms of a field  $K$  and let  $F$  be its fixed field. Let  $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r\}$  be the orbit of an element  $\beta = \beta_1 \in K$  under the action of  $G$ . Then  $\beta$  is algebraic over  $F$ , its degree over  $F$  is  $r$  and its irreducible polynomial over  $F$  is  $g(x) = (x - \beta_1) \cdots (x - \beta_r)$ . Further note*

that  $r$  divides  $|G|$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f(x)$  be the irreducible polynomial of  $\beta$  over  $F$ . Since  $f(x)$  is fixed by each  $G$  each element  $\beta_i$  is a root of  $f$  and so  $g$  divides  $f$ . Also  $g$  is fixed by all permutations of  $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r\}$  and hence by the operation of  $G$  which permutes the orbit. Therefore  $g(x) \in F[x]$  and so  $g = f$  as  $f$  is irreducible.  $\square$

This result gives us a method of determining the irreducible polynomial for an element  $\beta$  of a Galois extension  $K$  over  $F$ .

### Galois Extensions As Splitting Fields

**Corollary 0.2.0.10.** *Let  $K/F$  be a Galois extension.*

*Let  $g(x)$  be an irreducible polynomial in  $F[x]$ . If  $g$  has one root in  $K$  then it factors into linear factors in  $K[x]$*

*Proof.* According to the previous corollary  $F$  is the fixed

field of the Galois group  $G(K/F)$ . Let  $\beta$  be a root of  $g(x)$  in  $K$ . By the previous proposition the irreducible polynomial for  $\beta$  over  $F$  is  $(x - \beta_1) \cdots (x - \beta_r)$  where  $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r\}$  is the  $G$ -orbit of  $\beta$  since  $g(x)$  is the irreducible polynomial for  $\beta$ , it is equal to this product so it factors into linear factors in  $K$  as asserted.  $\square$

### Fixed Field of a Group of Automorphisms

**Theorem 0.2.0.11.** *Let  $G$  be a group of order  $n$  of automorphisms of a field  $K$  and let  $F$  be its fixed field. Then  $[K : F] = n$ .*

*Proof.* We know that every element  $\beta \in K$  is algebraic over  $F$  and that its degree divides  $|G|$ . The theorem of the primitive element implies that the degree of the whole field extension  $K/F$  is bounded by  $n$  too because we know that  $K = F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$  and hence  $K = F(\gamma)$  for some  $\gamma \in K$ .

Now any element of  $G$  which fixes  $\gamma$  is the identity on  $K$ . As such the stabilizer of  $\gamma$  is  $\{1\}$  and so the orbit of  $\gamma$  has size  $|G|/1 = |G|$ . Hence we have that the order of  $\gamma$  is  $n$  and  $[K : F] = n$   $\square$

This then allows to see that if  $K/F$  is any finite extension then the order of the Galois group must divide the degree. To prove this let  $G = G(K/F)$ . then  $G$  operates on  $K$  so  $|G| = [K : K^G]$  and since  $F \subset K^G \subset K$   $[K : K^G]$  divides  $[K : F]$ .

Fixed Field as Galois Group

**Corollary 0.2.0.12.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group of automorphisms of a field  $K$  and let  $F$  be its fixed field. Then  $K$  is a Galois extension of  $F$  and its Galois group is  $G$ .*

*Proof.* By the definition of fixed field, the elements of  $G$

are  $F$ -automorphisms of  $K$ . Hence  $G \subset G(K/F)$ . Since  $|G(K/F)| \leq [K : F]$  and  $[K : F] = |G|$  it follows that  $|G(K/F)| = [K : F]$  and that  $G = G(K/F)$ .  $\square$

### 0.3 TODO

- Go through Lang's book on the same topics.