

The Product Formula (Lecture 19)

March 26, 2014

Throughout this lecture, we fix an algebraically closed field k , a prime number ℓ which is invertible in k , an algebraic curve X over k , and a smooth affine group scheme G over X .

Construction 1. We define a category $\text{Ran}^G(X)$ as follows:

- The objects of $\text{Ran}^G(X)$ are quadruples (R, T, ν, \mathcal{P}) where R is a finitely generated k -algebra, T is a nonempty finite set, $\nu : T \rightarrow X(R)$ is a map of sets, and \mathcal{P} is a G -bundle on the divisor $|\nu(T)| \subseteq X_R$ determined by ν .
- A morphism from (R, T, ν, \mathcal{P}) to $(R', T', \nu', \mathcal{P}')$ in the category $\text{Ran}^G(X)$ consists of a morphism $(R, T, \nu) \rightarrow (R', T', \nu')$ in $\text{Ran}(X)$, together with a G -bundle isomorphism of \mathcal{P}' with $|\nu'(T')| \times_{|\nu(T)|} \mathcal{P}$.

We will regard $\text{Ran}^G(X)$ as a prestack via the forgetful functor $(R, T, \nu, \mathcal{P}) \mapsto R$. Note that there is an evident forgetful functor $\text{Ran}^G(X) \rightarrow \text{Ran}(X)$. For each nonempty finite set T , we let $\text{Ran}^G(X)^{(T)}$ denote the fiber product $\text{Ran}^G(X) \times_{\text{Ran}(X)} X^T$.

Example 2. The prestack $\text{Ran}^G(X)^{(1)}$ can be identified with the classifying stack BG : an R -valued point of $\text{Ran}^G(X)^{(1)}$ is given by an R -valued point of X together with a G -bundle on $\text{Spec } R$.

Each of the prestacks $\text{Ran}^G(X)^{(1)}$ is actually an Artin stack, which can be identified with the classifying stack of a smooth affine group scheme over X^T (given by the Weil restriction of G along an “incidence correspondence” between X and X^T).

Remark 3. Let $\alpha : T \rightarrow T'$ be a surjection of finite sets. Then α induces a diagonal map $\delta_{T/T'} : X^{T'} \rightarrow X^T$. For any map $\nu' : T' \rightarrow X(R)$, we have $|\nu'(T')| \subseteq |(\nu' \circ \alpha)(T)| \subseteq X_R$. Consequently, any G -bundle on $|(\nu' \circ \alpha)(T)|$ determines a G -bundle on $|\nu'(T')|$. This observation determines a map of prestacks

$$X^{T'} \times_{X^T} \text{Ran}^G(X)^T \rightarrow \text{Ran}^G(X)^{T'}.$$

Construction 4. For each nonempty finite set T , we let $\mathcal{B}^{(T)}$ denote the ℓ -adic sheaf on X^T given by the formula

$$\mathcal{B}^{(T)} = [\text{Ran}^G(X)^T]_{X^T}.$$

Note that if $\alpha : T \rightarrow T'$ is a surjection of nonempty finite sets, then Remark 3 determines a map of ℓ -adic sheaves

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}^{(T')} &= [\text{Ran}^G(X)^{T'}]_{X^{T'}} \\ &\rightarrow [X^{T'} \times_{X^T} \text{Ran}^G(X)^T]_{X^{T'}} \\ &\rightarrow \delta_{T/T'}^! [\text{Ran}^G(X)^T]_{X^T} \\ &= \delta_{T/T'}^! \mathcal{B}^{(T)} \end{aligned}$$

These maps exhibit $\{\mathcal{B}^{(T)}\}_{T \in \text{Fin}^s}$ as a lax $!$ -sheaf on $\text{Ran}(X)$, in the sense of the previous lecture. We will denote this lax $!$ -sheaf by \mathcal{B} .

Remark 5. We will see later that \mathcal{B} is actually a !-sheaf on $\text{Ran}(X)$.

Remark 6. Every G -bundle on X determines a G -bundle on every divisor $D \subseteq X$. This observation determines a map of prestacks

$$\text{Ran}(X) \times_{\text{Spec } k} \text{Bun}_G(X) \rightarrow \text{Ran}^G(X).$$

In particular, for every nonempty finite set T , we obtain a map

$$X^T \times_{\text{Spec } k} \text{Bun}_G(X) \rightarrow \text{Ran}^G(X)^{(T)}$$

of prestacks over X^T , which induces a map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}^{(T)} &= [\text{Ran}^G(X)^{(T)}]_{X^T} \\ &\rightarrow [X^T \times_{\text{Spec } k} \text{Bun}_G(X)]_{X^T} \\ &\simeq C^*(\text{Bun}_G(X); \mathbf{Z}_\ell) \otimes \omega_{X^T} \end{aligned}$$

of ℓ -adic sheaves on X^T . This construction depends functorially on T , and determines a map $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow C^*(\text{Bun}_G(X); \mathbf{Z}_\ell) \otimes \omega_{\text{Ran}(X)}$ in the ∞ -category $\text{Shv}_\ell^{\text{laX}}(\text{Ran}(X))$ of the previous lecture.

Remark 7. For any object $M \in \text{Mod}_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int M \otimes \omega_{\text{Ran}(X)} &\simeq M \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell} \int \omega_{\text{Ran}(X)} \\ &\simeq M \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell} \varinjlim_{T \in \text{Fin}^s} C^*(X^T; \omega_{X^T}) \\ &\simeq M \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell} \varinjlim_{T \in \text{Fin}^s} C_*(X^T; \mathbf{Z}_\ell) \\ &\simeq M \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell} C_*(\text{Ran}(X); \mathbf{Z}_\ell) \\ &\simeq M. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we can view Remark 6 as defining a map

$$\rho : \int \mathcal{B} \rightarrow C^*(\text{Bun}_G(X); \mathbf{Z}_\ell).$$

We can now state the second main theorem of this course:

Theorem 8 (Product Formula). *Suppose that the fibers of G are connected and that the generic fiber of G is semisimple and simply connected. Then the map*

$$\rho : \int \mathcal{B} \rightarrow C^*(\text{Bun}_G(X); \mathbf{Z}_\ell)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

Remark 9. Let η be a k -valued point of $\text{Ran}(X)$, corresponding to a finite set T and a map $\nu : T \rightarrow X(k)$. Then we can define the costalk $\eta^! \mathcal{B}$ by the formula

$$\eta^! \mathcal{B} = \nu^! \mathcal{B}^{(T)},$$

where we abuse notation by identifying ν with a map $\text{Spec } k \rightarrow X^T$.

Assume for simplicity that ν is injective. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta^! \mathcal{B} &= \nu^! \mathcal{B}^{(T)} \\
&= \nu^! [\text{Ran}^G(X)^{(T)}]_{X^T} \\
&\simeq C^*(\text{Ran}^G(X)^{(T)} \times_{X^T} \text{Spec } k; \mathbf{Z}_\ell) \\
&\simeq C^*\left(\prod_{t \in T} \text{BG}_{\nu(t)}; \mathbf{Z}_\ell\right) \\
&\simeq \prod_{t \in T} C^*(\text{BG}_{\nu(t)}; \mathbf{Z}_\ell).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that we have a canonical map

$$\eta^! \mathcal{B} = \nu^! \mathcal{B}^{(T)} \rightarrow C^*(X^T; \mathcal{B}^{(T)}) \rightarrow \int \mathcal{B}.$$

Heuristically, we can think of $\int \mathcal{B}$ as a “continuous colimit” of the costalks $\eta^! \mathcal{B}$, where η ranges over $\text{Ran}(X)$. In other words, we can think of $\int \mathcal{B}$ as a “continuous tensor product”

$$\bigotimes_{x \in X} C^*(\text{BG}_x; \mathbf{Z}_\ell).$$

From this point of view, Theorem 8 is a kind of “continuous Künneth formula”, which reflects the idea that $\text{Bun}_G(X)$ can be described heuristically as a product $\prod_{x \in X} \text{BG}_x$.