

# Existence of Borel Reductions I (Lecture 14)

March 5, 2014

Throughout this lecture, we let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field,  $X$  an algebraic curve over  $k$ ,  $G$  a smooth affine group scheme over  $X$  whose generic fiber  $G_0$  is semisimple and simply connected,  $B_0$  a Borel subgroup of  $G_0$ , and  $B$  the scheme-theoretic closure of  $B_0$  in  $G$ . Our goal is to prove the following version of a theorem of Drinfeld and Simpson:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $R$  be a finitely generated  $k$ -algebra and let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a  $G$ -bundle on  $X_R$ . Then, étale locally on  $\text{Spec } R$ , the  $G$ -bundle  $\mathcal{P}$  admits a  $B$ -reduction.*

We begin by treating the case where  $R = k$ . Our starting point is the following:

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a  $G$ -bundle on  $X$  and let  $S$  be a finite set of closed points of  $X$ . Then there exists an open set  $U \subseteq X$  containing  $S$  such that  $\mathcal{P}|_U$  is trivial.*

*Proof.* We first recall that the fraction field  $\mathcal{K}_X$  is a field of dimension 1. It follows that any  $G$ -bundle on  $X$  is automatically trivial at the generic point of  $X$ . In particular, if  $\mathcal{P}$  is a  $G$ -bundle on  $X$ , then we can choose a trivialization of  $\mathcal{P}$  at the generic point of  $X$ . Let us view this trivialization as a map  $\eta : \text{Spec } K_X$  fitting into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec } K_X & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \mathcal{P} \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & X. \end{array}$$

It follows that  $\eta$  can be extended to a map of  $X$ -schemes  $U \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ , where  $U$  is a dense open subset of  $X$ , which we can assume is chosen to be as large as possible. We wish to show that after modifying the trivialization  $\eta$ , we can arrange that  $S \subseteq U$ .

Write  $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ . Since  $k$  is algebraically closed, the  $G$ -bundle  $\mathcal{P}$  is trivial at the residue field of each of the points  $x_i$ . Since  $G$  is smooth, we can extend these trivializations to maps  $\eta_i : \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{x_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ , where  $\mathcal{O}_{x_i}$  denotes the complete local ring of the curve  $X$  at the point  $x_i$  (so that  $\mathcal{O}_{x_i}$  is noncanonically isomorphic to a power series ring  $k[[t]]$ ).

For  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , let  $K_{x_i}$  denote the fraction field of  $\mathcal{O}_{x_i}$ , so that  $\eta$  and  $\eta_i$  determine two different trivializations of  $\mathcal{P}|_{\text{Spec } K_{x_i}}$ . These trivializations differ by some elements  $g_i \in G(K_{x_i})$ . Note that  $g_i$  belongs to the subgroup  $G(\mathcal{O}_{x_i}) \subseteq G(K_{x_i})$  if and only if it is possible to adjust the trivialization  $\eta_i$  to be compatible with the generic trivialization  $\eta$ : that is, if and only if the point  $x_i$  is contained in  $U$ .

To complete the proof, we wish to show that we can change the trivialization  $\eta$  to arrange that each  $g_i$  belongs to  $G(\mathcal{O}_{x_i})$ . In other words, we wish to prove that we can choose  $g \in G(K_X)$  so that each of the products  $gg_i \in G(K_{x_i})$  belongs to  $G(\mathcal{O}_{x_i})$ .

Each of the fields  $K_{x_i}$  admits a topology, with a neighborhood basis of the identity element given by nonzero ideals in the discrete valuation ring  $\mathcal{O}_{x_i}$ . This determines a topology on each of the groups  $G(K_{x_i})$  and therefore also on the product  $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} G(K_{x_i})$ . By construction, the product  $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} G(\mathcal{O}_{x_i})g_i^{-1}$  is a nonempty open subset of  $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} G(K_{x_i})$ . It will therefore suffice to prove the following:

(\*) The map  $G(K_X) \rightarrow \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} G(K_{x_i})$  has dense image.

Note that assertion (\*) depends only on the generic fiber  $G_0$  of  $G$ . Choose a Borel subgroup  $B_0^-$  which is opposite to  $B_0$ , so that the intersection  $T_0 = B_0 \cap B_0^-$  is a maximal torus in  $G_0$ . Let  $U_0$  and  $U_0^-$  denote the unipotent radicals of  $B_0$  and  $B_0^-$ , respectively. Then the Bruhat decomposition for  $G$  implies that the multiplication induces an open immersion

$$U_0^- \times T_0 \times U_0 \hookrightarrow G_0$$

whose image is a Zariski-dense open set  $V \subseteq G_0$ . We need the following:

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $R$  be a complete discrete valuation ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ , let  $K$  be the fraction field of  $R$ , let  $Y$  be a smooth affine  $K$ -scheme, and let  $U \subseteq Y$  be a dense open set. Then  $U(K)$  is dense in  $Y(K)$  (where we equip  $Y(K)$  with the  $\mathfrak{m}$ -adic topology).*

It follows from Lemma 3 that each  $V(K_{x_i})$  is dense in  $G_0(K_{x_i})$ . It will therefore suffice to show that the map  $V(K_X) \rightarrow \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} V(K_{x_i})$  has dense image. Note that  $V$  factors (as a  $K_X$ -scheme) as a product of finitely many copies of  $\mathbf{G}_a$  and the maximal torus  $T_0$ . Moreover, as we saw in the previous lecture, the torus  $T_0$  is induced: that is, it can be written as a product  $\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} \text{Res}^{L_j} K_X \mathbf{G}_m$ , where  $\{L_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq m}$  is a finite collection of separable extensions of  $K_X$ . We are therefore reduced to proving that the maps

$$\begin{aligned} K_X &\rightarrow \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} K_{x_i} \\ L_j^\times &\rightarrow \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} (K_{x_i} \otimes_{K_X} L_j)^\times \end{aligned}$$

have dense image, which we leave to the reader. □

*Proof of Lemma 3.* The assertion is local with respect to the Zariski topology on  $Y$ . We may therefore assume without loss of generality that there exists an étale morphism of  $k$ -schemes  $\phi : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^d$ , where  $d$  is the dimension of  $Y$ . Let  $Z$  denote the complement of  $U$  in  $Y$ . Since  $U$  is dense, we have  $\dim(Z) < d$ , so that the image under  $\phi$  of  $Z$  is contained in a proper closed subscheme of  $\mathbf{A}^d$ . We may therefore choose a nonzero polynomial  $f(x_1, \dots, x_d)$  which vanishes on the points of  $\phi(Z(K))$ , so that  $\phi(Z(K))$  cannot contain any nonempty open subset of  $K^n$ . It follows from Hensel's lemma that  $\phi$  induces an open map  $Y(K) \rightarrow K^d$ , so that  $Z(K)$  cannot contain any open subset of  $Y(K)$  and therefore  $U(K)$  is dense in  $Y(K)$ , as desired. □

We now return to the proof of Theorem 1 in the special case where  $R = k$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a  $G$ -bundle on  $X$ . Then  $\mathcal{P}$  is equipped with a free action of  $G$  (in the category of  $X$ -schemes), and in particular a free action of  $B$ . We let  $\mathcal{P}/B$  denote the quotient of  $\mathcal{P}$  by the action of  $B$ . We have a canonical map  $\pi : \mathcal{P}/B \rightarrow X$ , and we can identify  $B$ -reductions of  $\mathcal{P}$  with sections of the map  $\pi$ .

**Remark 4.** By the quotient  $\mathcal{P}/B$ , we refer to the quotient of  $\mathcal{P}$  by  $B$  in the category of fppf sheaves on  $X$ . It follows from a general theorem of Artin that such a quotient is always representable by an algebraic space ([?]). In fact, one can show that  $\mathcal{P}/B$  is representable by a scheme, but this is not really important.

The generic fiber of  $\pi$  can be identified with the quotient  $G_0/B_0$  in the category of  $K_X$ -schemes. Since  $B_0$  was defined to be a Borel subgroup of  $G_0$ , the quotient  $G_0/B_0$  is *proper* over  $\text{Spec } K_X$ . It follows that the map  $\pi : \mathcal{P}/B \rightarrow X$  is *generically proper*: that is, it induces a proper map  $(\mathcal{P}/B) \times_X W \rightarrow W$  for some dense open subset  $W \subseteq X$ . The complement  $X - W$  consists of finitely many closed points  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ . Applying Lemma 2, we can choose an open subset  $U \subseteq X$  containing each  $x_i$  such that  $\mathcal{P}|_U$  is trivial. In particular, the  $G$ -bundle  $\mathcal{P}|_U$  admits a reduction to  $B$ , classified by a map  $s : U \rightarrow \mathcal{P}/B$  which is a section of  $\pi$ . Since every point of  $X - U$  belongs to  $W$ , the map  $s$  extends uniquely to a map  $\bar{s} : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}/B$  using the valuative criterion for properness, which we can identify with a  $B$ -reduction of  $\mathcal{P}$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1 in the special case  $R = k$ .

Let us now turn to the general case. Let  $R$  be a finitely generated  $k$ -algebra and let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a  $G$ -bundle on  $X_R$ . As before, we let  $\mathcal{P}/B$  denote the quotient of  $\mathcal{P}$  by the action of  $B$ . Let  $\text{Fl}$  be the  $R$ -scheme obtained

by Weil restriction of  $\mathcal{P}/B$  along the projection map  $X_R \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} R$  (see [2] for a careful discussion). In other words,  $\mathrm{Fl}$  is the  $R$ -scheme whose set of  $A$ -valued points  $\mathrm{Fl}(A)$  can be identified with the set of commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_A & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{P}/B \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & X_R. \end{array}$$

Unwinding the definitions, we see that there is a bijective correspondence between  $\mathrm{Fl}(A)$  and the set of isomorphism classes of  $B$ -reductions of the  $G$ -bundle  $X_A \times_{X_R} \mathcal{P}$ . Consequently, Theorem 1 is equivalent to the assertion that the map  $\rho : \mathrm{Fl} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} R$  admits a section, étale locally on  $\mathrm{Spec} R$ .

Let  $\mathrm{Fl}^\circ$  denote the open subset of  $\mathrm{Fl}$  given by the smooth locus of the projection map  $\mathrm{Fl} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} R$ . Since every smooth surjection of schemes admits étale-local sections, it will suffice to prove that the projection map  $\mathrm{Fl}^\circ \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} R$  is surjective. Note that the special case we have already treated shows that  $\rho$  is surjective at the level of  $k$ -valued points.

Let  $y$  be a  $k$ -valued point of  $\mathrm{Spec} R$ , and let  $\bar{y}$  be a  $k$ -valued point of  $\mathrm{Fl}$  lying over  $y$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_y$  denote the  $G$ -bundle on  $X$  determined by  $y$ , so that  $\bar{y}$  can be identified with a section  $s$  of the projection map  $\pi : \mathcal{P}_y/B \rightarrow X$ . The map  $\pi$  is smooth: let  $T_\pi$  denote its relative tangent bundle (a vector bundle on  $\mathcal{P}_y/B$ ). Unwinding the definitions, we see that the Zariski tangent space of  $\mathrm{Fl} \times_{\mathrm{Spec} R} \{y\}$  at the point  $\bar{y}$  can be identified with  $H^0(X; s^*T_\pi)$ . Using a bit of deformation theory, one can show that the cohomology group  $H^1(X; s^*T_\pi)$  controls deformations of the section  $s$ . In particular, if the group  $H^1(X; s^*T_\pi)$  vanishes, then  $\bar{y}$  belongs to the smooth locus of  $\mathrm{Fl}^\circ$ . It will therefore suffice to show that for each  $k$ -valued point  $y$  of  $\mathrm{Spec} R$ , we can choose a section  $s$  such that  $H^1(X; s^*T_\pi)$  vanishes. To prove this assertion, we might as well replace  $R$  by  $k$ . We have therefore reduced the proof of Theorem 1 to the following:

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a  $G$ -bundle on  $X$ . Then there exists a section  $s$  of the projection map  $\pi : \mathcal{P}/B \rightarrow X$  such that  $H^1(X; s^*T_\pi) \simeq 0$ .*

We will prove Theorem 5 in the next lecture.

## References

- [1] Drinfeld, V. and C. Simpson. *B-Structures on G-bundles and Local Triviality*.
- [2] Nitsure, N. *Construction of Hilbert and Quot schemes*. Fundamental algebraic geometry: Grothendieck's FGA explained, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 123, American Mathematical Society 2005, 105-137.