

# Whitehead Torsion (Lecture 3)

September 10, 2014

Let  $X$  be a space with the homotopy type of a CW complex. In the previous lecture, we studied the question of whether or not that CW could be chosen to be *finite*. More precisely, we saw that if a connected space  $X$  is finitely dominated (meaning that it behaves cohomologically like a finite CW complex), then there is an obstruction  $\eta \in \tilde{K}_0(\pi_1 X)$  which vanishes if and only if  $X$  has the homotopy type of a finite CW complex  $Y$ .

In this lecture, we will study the question of uniqueness. Suppose we are given two finite CW complexes  $Y$  and  $Y'$  equipped with homotopy equivalences

$$f : Y \rightarrow X \quad g : X \rightarrow Y'.$$

Then  $g \circ f$  is a homotopy equivalence from  $Y$  to  $Y'$ . One can ask if this homotopy equivalence can be “witnessed” entirely in the world of finite CW complexes.

In what follows, we use the term *CW complex* to refer to a space  $Y$  with a *specified* decomposition into open cells. For each integer  $n \geq -1$ , we let  $Y^n$  denote the  $n$ -skeleton of  $Y$ . Recall that a map of CW complexes  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is *cellular* if it carries each  $X^n$  into  $Y^n$ .

**Construction 1.** Let  $D^n$  denote the closed unit ball of dimension  $n$  and let  $S^{n-1} = \partial D^n$  denote its boundary. We will regard  $S^{n-1}$  as decomposed into hemispheres  $S_-^{n-1}$  and  $S_+^{n-1}$  which meet along the “equator”  $S^{n-2} = S_-^{n-1} \cap S_+^{n-1}$ .

Let  $Y$  be a CW complex equipped with a map  $f : (S_-^{n-1}, S_+^{n-1}) \rightarrow (Y^{n-1}, Y^{n-2})$ . Then the pushout  $Y \amalg_{S_-^{n-1}} D^n$  has the structure of a CW complex which is obtained from  $Y$  by adding two more cells: an  $(n-1)$ -cell given by the image of the interior of  $S_+^{n-1}$  (attached via the map  $f|_{S^{n-2}} : S^{n-2} \rightarrow Y^{n-2}$ ) and an  $n$ -cell given by the image of the interior of  $D^n$  attached via the map

$$S^{n-1} = S_-^{n-1} \amalg_{S^{n-2}} S_+^{n-1} \rightarrow Y^{n-1} \amalg_{S^{n-2}} S_+^{n-1}.$$

In this case, we will refer to the CW complex  $Y \amalg_{S_-^{n-1}} D^n$  as an *elementary expansion* of  $Y$ , and to the inclusion map  $Y \hookrightarrow Y \amalg_{S_-^{n-1}} D^n$  as an *elementary expansion*.

The hemisphere  $S_-^{n-1} \subseteq D^n$  is a retract (even a deformation retract) of  $D^n$ . Composition with any retraction induces a (cellular)  $c : Y \amalg_{S_-^{n-1}} D^n \rightarrow Y$ , which we will refer to as an *elementary collapse*. Note that the homotopy class of  $c$  does not depend on the choice of retraction  $D^n \rightarrow S_-^{n-1}$ .

**Definition 2.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a map of CW complexes. We will say that  $f$  is a *simple homotopy equivalence* if it is homotopic to a finite composition

$$X = X_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_1 \xrightarrow{f_2} X_2 \rightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{f_n} X_n = Y,$$

where each  $f_i$  is either an elementary expansion or an elementary collapse.

We say that two finite CW complexes are *simple homotopy equivalent* if there exists a simple homotopy equivalence between them.

**Example 3.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be finite CW complexes and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous map. We let  $M(f) = (X \times [0, 1]) \amalg_{X \times \{1\}} Y$  denote the mapping cylinder of  $f$ . If  $f$  is a cellular map, then we can regard  $M(f)$  as a finite CW complex (taking the cells of  $M(f)$  to be the cells of  $Y$  together with cells of the form  $e \times \{0\}$  and  $e \times (0, 1)$ , where  $e$  is a cell of  $X$ ). The inclusion  $Y \hookrightarrow M(f)$  is always a simple homotopy equivalence: in fact, it can be obtained by a finite sequence of elementary expansions which simultaneously add pairs of cells  $e \times \{0\}$  and  $e \times (0, 1)$  (where we add cells in order of increasing dimension).

Note that the map  $f$  is homotopic to a composition

$$X \simeq X \times \{0\} \xrightarrow{\iota} M(f) \xrightarrow{r} Y,$$

where  $r$  is the canonical retraction from  $M(f)$  onto  $Y$  (which is homotopy inverse to the inclusion  $Y \hookrightarrow M(f)$ , and can be obtained by composing a finite sequence elementary collapses). It follows that  $f$  is a simple homotopy equivalence if and only if  $\iota$  is a simple homotopy equivalence. Consequently, when we are studying the question of whether or not some map  $f$  is a simple homotopy equivalence, there is no real loss of generality in assuming that  $f$  is the inclusion of a subcomplex.

It is easy to see that any simple homotopy equivalence is a homotopy equivalence. One can ask whether the converse holds:

**Question 4.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a homotopy equivalence between finite CW complexes. Is  $f$  a simple homotopy equivalence? If not, how can we tell?

To address Question 4, we will introduce an algebraic invariant (called the *Whitehead torsion*) which vanishes for simple homotopy equivalences, but not for all homotopy equivalences. First, we need a brief digression.

**Definition 5.** Let  $R$  be a ring (not necessarily commutative). For each integer  $n \geq 0$ , we let  $\mathrm{GL}_n(R)$  denote the group of automorphisms of  $R^n$  as a right  $R$ -module (equivalently, the group of invertible  $n$ -by- $n$  matrices with coefficients in  $R$ ). Every automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $R^n$  extends to an automorphism  $\alpha \oplus \mathrm{id}_R$  of  $R^{n+1}$ ; this construction yields inclusions

$$\mathrm{GL}_1(R) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(R) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_3(R) \hookrightarrow \dots$$

We let  $\mathrm{GL}_\infty(R)$  denote the direct limit of this sequence, and we define  $K_1(R)$  to be the abelianization of  $\mathrm{GL}_\infty(R)$ .

**Remark 6.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. For every integer  $n$ , the determinant gives a group homomorphism

$$\det : \mathrm{GL}_n(R) \rightarrow R^\times.$$

These maps are compatible as  $n$  varies and therefore determine a group homomorphism  $\det : K_1(R) \rightarrow R^\times$ . This map is split surjective (split by the canonical map  $\mathrm{GL}_1(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_\infty(R) \rightarrow K_1(R)$ ). This map can be shown to be an isomorphism when  $R$  is a field or  $R = \mathbf{Z}$ , but it is not an isomorphism in general.

By construction, for any ring  $R$  we have a canonical homomorphism  $\mathrm{GL}_n(R) \rightarrow K_1(R)$ , which one can think of as a kind of “universal determinant”.

**Exercise 7.** For every unit  $x \in R^\times$ , let  $[x]$  denote the image of  $x$  under the composite map  $\mathrm{GL}_1(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_\infty(R) \rightarrow K_1(R)$ . Suppose that  $\sigma \in \mathrm{GL}_n(R)$  is a permutation matrix. Show that the image of  $\sigma$  in  $K_1(R)$  is given by  $[\epsilon]$ , where  $\epsilon = \pm 1$  is the sign of the permutation  $\sigma$ .

**Exercise 8.** Let  $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(R)$ . Let us say that  $g$  is *potentially upper triangular* if there exists a decomposition of  $R^n$  as a direct sum  $P_1 \oplus P_2 \oplus \dots \oplus P_m$  such that for each  $x \in P_i$ , we have

$$g(x) \in x + P_1 + \dots + P_{i-1}.$$

Show that if  $g$  is potentially upper triangular, then the image of  $g$  in  $K_1(R)$  vanishes.

Let  $R$  be a ring. A *based chain complex* over  $R$  is a bounded chain complex of  $R$ -modules

$$\cdots \rightarrow F_n \xrightarrow{d} F_{n-1} \xrightarrow{d} F_{n-2} \rightarrow \cdots$$

together with a choice of *unordered* basis for each  $F_m$  (so that each  $F_m$  is a free  $R$ -module). In this case, we let  $\chi(F_*)$  denote the sum  $\sum (-1)^m r_m$ , where  $r_m$  denotes the cardinality of the basis of  $F_m$ . We will refer to  $\chi(F_*)$  as the *Euler characteristic* of  $(F_*, d)$ .

**Warning 9.** If  $R$  is a nonzero commutative ring, then the Euler characteristic  $\chi(F_*)$  is independent of the choice of basis of the modules  $F_*$ . For a general noncommutative ring  $R$ , this need not be the case.

**Exercise 10.** Let  $(F_*, d)$  be a finite based chain complex which is *acyclic*: that is, the homology of  $(F_*, d)$  vanishes. Show that if  $R$  admits a nonzero homomorphism to a commutative ring, then  $\chi(F_*, d) = 0$ .

Let  $(F_*, d)$  be a based chain complex over  $R$  which is *acyclic*. Since each  $F_m$  is a free  $R$ -module, it then follows that the identity map  $\text{id} : F_* \rightarrow F_*$  is chain homotopic to zero: that is, there exists a map  $h : F_* \rightarrow F_{*+1}$  satisfying  $dh + hd = \text{id}$ . We let  $F_{\text{even}} = \bigoplus_n F_{2n}$  and  $F_{\text{odd}} = \bigoplus_n F_{2n+1}$ .

**Lemma 11.** *In the situation above, the map  $d + h : F_{\text{even}} \rightarrow F_{\text{odd}}$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* We have  $(d + h)(d + h) = d^2 + dh + hd + h^2 = \text{id} + h^2$ , which has an inverse given by the sum  $1 - h^2 + h^4 - h^6 + \cdots$  (note that this sum is actually finite, since the chain complex  $F_*$  is bounded and  $h$  increases degrees).  $\square$

The specification of a basis for each  $F_m$  determines isomorphisms

$$F_{\text{even}} \simeq R^a \quad F_{\text{odd}} \simeq R^b$$

for some integers  $a, b \geq 0$ , which are well-defined up to the action of permutation matrices.

**Definition 12.** Let  $\tilde{K}_1(R)$  denote the quotient of  $K_1(R)$  by the subgroup  $[\pm 1]$ . If  $(F_*, d)$  is an acyclic based complex with  $\chi(F_*) = 0$ , we define the *torsion* of  $(F_*, d)$  to be the image of  $d + h \in \text{GL}_a(R)$  under the map  $\text{GL}_a(R) \rightarrow \text{GL}_\infty(R) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_1(R)$ ; by virtue of Exercise 7, this does not depend on the ordering of the basis elements of  $F_*$ . We will denote the torsion of  $(F_*, d)$  by  $\tau(F_*)$ .

**Lemma 13.** *In the situation of Definition 12, the torsion  $\tau(F_*)$  is well-defined: that is, it does not depend on the choice of nullhomotopy  $h$ .*

*Proof.* Any other nullhomotopy of  $(F_*, d)$  has the form  $h + e$ , where  $e : F_* \rightarrow F_{*+1}$  is a map satisfying  $de + ed = 0$ . We have already seen that  $(d + h)^2 = 1 + h^2$ , so that we have  $(d + h)^{-1} = (d + h)(1 - h^2 + h^4 - h^6 + \cdots)$ . Multiplying by  $(d + h + e)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (d + h + e)(d + h)^{-1} &= 1 + e(d + h)^{-1} \\ &= 1 + e(d + h)(1 - h^2 + h^4 + \cdots) \\ &= 1 + ed + \text{degree } \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(F_*, d)$  is a bounded acyclic chain complex of free modules, it is split exact: in particular, each  $F_n$  contains the group  $Z_n = \ker(d : F_n \rightarrow F_{n-1})$  as a direct summand. Note that  $ed$  annihilates the group  $Z_n$ , and that  $ed = -de$  carries  $F_n$  into  $Z_n$ . It follows that any map of the form  $1 + ed + \text{degree } \geq 0$  is potentially upper triangular when regarded as an automorphism of  $F_{\text{even}}$ , and therefore has vanishing image in  $K_1(R)$  (Exercise 8).  $\square$

**Exercise 14.** Suppose we are given a short exact sequence of finite based chain complexes

$$0 \rightarrow (F'_*, d') \rightarrow (F_*, d) \rightarrow (F''_*, d'') \rightarrow 0.$$

Assume that the chosen basis for each  $F_m$  consists of the images of the basis elements of  $F'_m$  together with preimages of the basis elements of each  $F''_m$ . Show that:

- (a) If  $(F'_*, d')$  and  $(F''_*, d'')$  are acyclic, then  $(F_*, d)$  is acyclic.
- (b) If  $\chi(F'_*, d') = \chi(F''_*, d'') = 0$ , then  $\chi(F_*, d) = 0$ .
- (c) If conditions (a) and (b) hold, then  $\tau(F_*, d) = \tau(F'_*, d')\tau(F''_*, d'')$  in  $\tilde{K}_1(R)$ .

**Definition 15.** Let  $f : X_* \rightarrow Y_*$  be a map of chain complexes over a ring  $R$ . The *mapping cone of  $f$*  is defined to be the chain complex

$$C(f)_* = X_{*-1} \oplus Y_*$$

with differential  $d(x, y) = (-dx, f(x) + dy)$ . Note that if  $X_*$  and  $Y_*$  are based complexes, then we can regard  $C(f)_*$  as a based complex (where we fix some convention for how our bases should be ordered; we will not worry about this point).

Suppose that we have  $\chi(X_*, d) = \chi(Y_*, d)$  and that  $f$  is a quasi-isomorphism (that is, it induces an isomorphism on homology). Then  $\chi(C(f)_*, d) = 0$  and  $C(f)_*$  is acyclic. We define the *torsion of  $f$*  to be the element  $\tau(f) = \tau(C(f)_*, d) \in K_1(R)$ .

**Example 16.** Let  $(F_*, d)$  be an acyclic based complex with  $\chi(F_*) = 0$ , and let  $f$  be the identity map from  $F_*$  to itself. Then the mapping cone  $C(f)_*$  has an explicit nullhomotopy given by  $(x, y) \mapsto (y, 0)$ . Let us identify  $C(f)_{\text{even}}$  and  $C(f)_{\text{odd}}$  with  $F_*$ , so that  $d + h$  is given by

$$(x, y) \mapsto (y - dx, x + dy).$$

This map is given by a permutation matrix modulo the filtration by degree, so we have  $\tau(f) = 1 \in \tilde{K}_1(R)$ .

Let us now explain how to apply the preceding ideas. Suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  are finite CW complexes and that we are given a homotopy equivalence  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ . For simplicity, we will assume that  $X$  and  $Y$  are connected (otherwise, we can analyze each connected component separately). We fix a base point  $x \in X$  and set  $G = \pi_1(X, x) \simeq \pi_1(Y, f(x))$ . Let  $\tilde{Y}$  be a universal cover of  $Y$  and let  $\tilde{X} = X \times_Y \tilde{Y}$  be the corresponding universal cover of  $X$ , so that  $G$  acts on  $\tilde{X}$  and  $\tilde{Y}$  by deck transformations. Let us further assume that  $f$  is a cellular map. Then  $f$  induces a map of cellular chain complexes

$$\lambda : C_*(\tilde{X}; \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow C_*(\tilde{Y}; \mathbf{Z}).$$

Note that we can regard  $C_*(\tilde{X}; \mathbf{Z})$  and  $C_*(\tilde{Y}; \mathbf{Z})$  as chain complexes of free  $\mathbf{Z}[G]$ -modules, with basis elements in bijection with the cells of  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively. Since  $f$  is a homotopy equivalence, the map  $\lambda$  is a quasi-isomorphism. We may therefore consider the torsion  $\tau(\lambda) \in \tilde{K}_1(\mathbf{Z}[G])$ . However, it is not quite well-defined: in order to extract an element of  $C_*(\tilde{X}; \mathbf{Z})$  from a cell  $e \subseteq X$ , we need to choose a cell of  $\tilde{X}$  lying over  $e$  (which is ambiguous up to the action of  $G$ ) and an orientation of the cell  $e$  (which is ambiguous up to a sign). This motivates the following:

**Definition 17.** Let  $G$  be a group. The *Whitehead group*  $\text{Wh}(G)$  of  $G$  is the quotient of  $K_1(\mathbf{Z}[G])$  by elements of the form  $[\pm g]$ , where  $g \in G$ .

If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a cellular homotopy equivalence of connected finite CW complexes, we define the *Whitehead torsion*  $\tau(f) \in \text{Wh}(G)$  to be the image in  $\text{Wh}(G)$  of the torsion of the induced map

$$\lambda : C_*(\tilde{X}; \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow C_*(\tilde{Y}; \mathbf{Z}).$$

We will continue our discussion of the Whitehead torsion in the next lecture.