

# Telescopic vs. $E_n$ -Localization (Lecture 29)

April 13, 2010

Let  $p$  be a prime number, fixed throughout this lecture. Let  $L$  be a Bousfield localization functor on  $p$ -local spectra. Our goal in this lecture is to obtain a structure theorem for  $L$ , under the assumption that  $L$  is smashing.

Let us begin by fixing a bit of terminology. We say a spectrum  $X$  is  $L$ -local if the map  $X \rightarrow LX$  is an equivalence.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $L$  be a localization functor. For  $0 \leq n \leq \infty$ , we have either  $LK(n) \simeq 0$  or  $LK(n) \simeq K(n)$ .*

*Proof.* We have a map of ring spectra  $K(n) \rightarrow LK(n)$ . Consequently,  $LK(n)$  has the structure of a  $K(n)$ -module. If  $LK(n) \neq 0$ , then  $LK(n)$  contains  $K(n)$  (possibly shifted) as a retract. Since  $LK(n)$  is  $L$ -local, we conclude that  $K(n)$  is  $L$ -local so that  $K(n) \simeq LK(n)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $L$  be a smashing localization functor and let  $E$  be a nonzero complex-oriented cohomology theory whose formal group has height exactly  $n$ . Then  $LE \simeq 0$  if and only if  $LK(n) \simeq 0$ .*

*Proof.* If  $LE \simeq 0$ , then  $0 \simeq K(n) \otimes LE \simeq LK(n) \otimes E$ . Since  $K(n) \otimes E \neq 0$ , we conclude that  $LK(n) \simeq 0$  (Lemma 1). Conversely, suppose that  $LK(n) \simeq 0$ . Then  $0 \simeq LK(n) \otimes E \simeq K(n) \otimes LE$ . On the other hand,  $LE \otimes K(m) \simeq 0$  for  $m \neq n$ , since it is a complex oriented ring spectrum whose formal group has height exactly  $m$  and exactly  $n$ . It follows from the nilpotence theorem that  $LE \simeq 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $L$  be a smashing localization functor. If  $LK(m) \simeq 0$ , then  $LK(n) \simeq 0$  for  $n > m$ .*

*Proof.* For  $k \geq 0$ , let  $M(k)$  denote the cofiber of the map  $t_k : \Sigma^{2k} \text{MU}_{(p)} \rightarrow \text{MU}_{(p)}$ , and let  $R$  be the ring spectrum obtained by smashing (over  $\text{MU}_{(p)}$ ) the spectra  $\{M(k)\}_{k \neq p^{m-1}, p^{n-1}}$  with  $\text{MU}_{(p)}[v_n^{-1}]$ . For notational simplicity we will assume that  $0 < m < n < \infty$ , so that  $\pi_* R \simeq \mathbf{F}_p[v_m, v_n^{\pm 1}]$ . Note that  $R[v_m^{-1}]$  is a ring spectrum whose associated formal group has height exactly  $m$ . It follows from Lemma 2 that  $LR[v_m^{-1}] \simeq 0$ . Since  $L$  is smashing, we can identify  $LR[v_m^{-1}]$  with the colimit of the sequence

$$LR \xrightarrow{v_m} \Sigma^{-2(p^m-1)} LR \xrightarrow{v_m} \Sigma^{-4(p^m-1)} LR \rightarrow \dots$$

It follows that  $1 \in \pi_0 LR$  vanishes in  $\pi_0 \Sigma^{-2k(p^m-1)} R$  for  $k \gg 0$ : in other words, the image of  $v_m^k$  vanishes in  $\pi_* LR$ . Let  $R'$  denote the cofiber of the map  $v_m^{k+1} : \Sigma^{2(k+1)(p^m-1)} R \rightarrow R$ , so that  $v_m^k$  vanishes in  $\pi_* LR'$ . Since  $\pi_* R' \simeq \mathbf{F}_p[v_m, v_n^{\pm 1}]/(v_m^{k+1})$ , we conclude that the map  $\pi_* R' \rightarrow \pi_* LR'$  is not injective. In particular,  $R'$  is not  $L$ -local. Note that  $R'$  can be obtained as a successive extension of  $k+1$  copies of  $R/v_m \simeq K(n)$ . It follows that  $K(n)$  is not  $L$ -local. According to Lemma 1, this means that  $LK(n) \simeq 0$ .  $\square$

If  $L$  is any localization functor, let us denote by  $\ker(L)$  the collection of all  $L$ -acyclic spectra: that is, spectra  $X$  such that  $LX \simeq 0$ .

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $L$  be a smashing localization functor, and let  $n \geq 0$  be an integer. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $LK(n) \simeq 0$ .

(2)  $LK(m) \simeq 0$  for  $n \leq m \leq \infty$ .

(3) Every finite  $p$ -local spectrum  $X$  of type  $\geq n$  belongs to  $\ker(L)$ .

(4) There exists a finite  $p$ -local spectrum  $X$  of type  $n$  in  $\ker(L)$ .

*Proof.* The implication (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) follows from Lemma 3. The implication (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) is clear (since there exists a finite  $p$ -local spectrum of type  $n$ ). To prove that (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1), we note that  $LX \simeq 0$  implies  $LX \otimes K(n) \simeq X \otimes LK(n) \simeq 0$ . If  $LK(n) \neq 0$ , then  $LK(n) \simeq K(n)$  so that  $X \otimes LK(n) \neq 0$ , since  $X$  has type  $n$ .

It remains to prove that (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Let  $X$  be a  $p$ -local finite spectrum of type  $\geq n$ . We wish to prove that  $LX \simeq 0$ . Let  $R = X \otimes DX$ ; since  $LX$  is an  $LR$ -module, it will suffice to show that  $LR \simeq 0$ . Since  $LR$  is a ring spectrum, by the nilpotence theorem it will suffice to show that  $LR \otimes K(m) \simeq 0$  for every  $m$ . If  $m < n$ , we have  $LR \otimes K(m) \simeq L(R \otimes K(m)) \simeq 0$  since  $R$  has type  $\geq n > m$ . If  $m \geq n$ , then  $LR \otimes K(m) \simeq R \otimes LK(m) \simeq 0$  because  $LK(m) \simeq 0$  by assumption (2).  $\square$

(A) We have  $LK(n) \simeq 0$  for all  $0 \leq n < \infty$ .

(B) We have  $LK(n) \simeq K(n)$  for all  $0 \leq n < \infty$ .

(C) There exists an integer  $n \geq 0$  such that  $LK(n) \simeq K(n)$  but  $LK(n+1) \simeq 0$ .

In case (A), Lemma 2 guarantees that  $L$  annihilates every finite  $p$ -local spectrum of type  $\geq 0$ . In particular, for every  $X$  we have

$$LX \simeq X \otimes LS_{(p)} \simeq X \otimes 0 \simeq 0 :$$

that is,  $L$  is the zero functor.

Let us now analyze case (C). Fix  $n$  such that  $LK(n) \simeq K(n)$  but  $LK(n+1) \simeq 0$ . Lemma 4 implies that  $\ker(L)$  contains every finite spectrum of type  $> n$ . Conversely, if  $X$  is a finite  $p$ -local spectrum such that  $LX \simeq 0$ , we have

$$0 \simeq K(n) \otimes LX \simeq LK(n) \otimes X \simeq K(n) \otimes X$$

so that  $X$  must have type  $> n$ . In other words, the finite  $p$ -local spectra belonging to  $\ker(L)$  are *precisely* the spectra of type  $> n$ : that is, the spectra which are  $E(n)$ -acyclic. Conversely, we have the following:

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $L$  be a smashing localization, and suppose that  $LK(n) \simeq K(n)$ . Then every spectrum which belongs to  $\ker(L)$  is  $E(n)$ -acyclic.*

**Remark 6.** An equivalent formulation is the following: if  $L$  is a smashing localization with  $LK(n) \simeq K(n)$ , then every  $E(n)$ -local spectrum is  $L$ -local.

*Proof.* Let  $X \in \ker(L)$ . We wish to show that  $X$  is  $E(n)$ -acyclic. Since  $E(n)$  is Bousfield equivalent to  $K(0) \oplus \cdots \oplus K(n)$ , it suffices to show that  $X$  is  $K(m)$ -acyclic for  $m \leq n$ . This follows from

$$K(m) \otimes X \simeq LK(m) \otimes X \simeq K(m) \otimes LX \simeq 0,$$

since  $L$  is smashing and  $LK(m) \simeq K(m)$  for  $m \leq n$  (Lemma 3).  $\square$

Let us now return to case (C). If  $L$  is a smashing localization with  $LK(n) \simeq K(n)$  and  $LK(n+1) \simeq 0$ , then we conclude that  $\ker(L)$  consists of  $E(n)$ -acyclic spectra, and contains all finite  $E_n$ -acyclic spectra. In other words, we have

$$\ker(L_n^t) \subseteq \ker(L) \subseteq \ker(L_{E(n)}).$$

The following conjecture of Ravenel is the main open problem left in the subject (though it is generally believed to be false):

**Conjecture 7** (Telescope Conjecture). The localization functors  $L_n^t$  and  $L_{E(n)}$  coincide. In particular, every smashing localization  $L$  satisfying (C) above has the form  $L_n^t$  for some  $n \geq 0$ .

It remains to treat the case (B): suppose that  $L$  is a smashing localization with  $LK(n) \simeq K(n)$  for  $n \geq 0$ . According to Remark 6, if  $X$  is an  $E(n)$ -local spectrum for any  $X$ , then  $X$  is  $L$ -local. In particular, the *chromatic tower*

$$\cdots \rightarrow L_{E(2)}S_{(p)} \rightarrow L_{E(1)}S_{(p)} \rightarrow L_{E(0)}S_{(p)}$$

consists of  $L$ -local spectra, so that homotopy inverse limit of this tower is  $L$ -local. Next week we will prove the following:

**Theorem 8** (Chromatic Convergence Theorem). *The homotopy inverse limit of the chromatic tower is  $S_{(p)}$ .*

**Corollary 9.** *Let  $L$  be a smashing localization such that  $LK(n) \simeq K(n)$  for  $0 \leq n < \infty$ . Then  $L$  is equivalent to the identity functor.*

*Proof.* Using the chromatic convergence theorem and Remark 6, we deduce that  $S_{(p)}$  is  $L$ -local. Then, for any  $p$ -local spectrum  $X$ , we have

$$LX \simeq X \otimes LS_{(p)} \simeq X \otimes S_{(p)} \simeq X.$$

□