

## A BRIESKORN SPHERE

BEN KNUDSEN

We discuss the following result, which accounts for a small subset of a much more general class of examples studied systematically by Brieskorn [Bri66].

**Theorem 1** (Brieskorn). *For  $m > 1$ , the manifold*

$$\Sigma = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^{2m+1} \mid z_0^3 + z_1^5 + z_2^2 + \cdots + z_{2m}^2 = 0, |z| = 1\}$$

*is homeomorphic, but not diffeomorphic, to  $S^{4m-1}$ .*

The proof will make use of a portion of Kervaire-Milnor's landmark work on exotic spheres [KM63]. Recall that the *signature*  $\sigma(M)$  of a  $4m$ -manifold  $M$  is the signature of the quadratic form determined by Poincaré duality on  $H_{2m}(M; \mathbb{R})$ , which is to say the number of positive eigenvalues minus the number of negative eigenvalues of the associated matrix.

**Theorem 2** (Kervaire-Milnor). *Let  $\Sigma^{4m-1}$  be a smooth manifold homeomorphic to  $S^{4m-1}$ . If  $\Sigma = \partial W$  with  $W$  parallelizable, then  $\Sigma$  is diffeomorphic to  $S^{4m-1}$  if and only if*

$$\frac{1}{8}\sigma(W) \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{3 + (-1)^{m+1}}{2} 2^{2m-2} (2^{2m-1} - 1) \text{Num}\left(\frac{B_{2m}}{4m}\right)},$$

where the Bernoulli numbers  $B_{2m}$  are defined by the generating function

$$\frac{\sqrt{z}}{\tanh \sqrt{z}} = 1 + \sum_{m \geq 1} (-1)^{m-1} \frac{2^{2m} B_{2m}}{(2m)!} z^m.$$

Assuming this result, the proof of Theorem 1 will take place in two steps. First, we verify that  $\Sigma$  is a topological sphere, in the process identifying it as the boundary of a parallelizable manifold. Second, we compute that the signature of the bounding manifold is equal to 8, up to sign.

**Notation 3.** Setting  $f(z) = z_0^{a_0} + \cdots + z_n^{a_n}$  with  $n > 2$ , we write  $V(f)$  for the zero set of  $f$  in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ , and we write  $\Sigma = V(f) \cap S^{2n+1}$ . We write  $C_{a_j}$  for the cyclic group of order  $a_j$  with fixed generator  $\omega_j$ , and we set  $G = C_{a_0} \times \cdots \times C_{a_n}$ .

Our strategy in understanding the topology of  $\Sigma$  will be to understand that of  $S^{2n+1} \setminus \Sigma$  and invoke duality. The idea behind the approach to this complement is that, when leaving the zero locus of  $f$ , one must leave in some direction, and so the complement fibers over the space of possible directions. More precisely, defining

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi} : \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus V(f) &\rightarrow S^1 \\ z &\mapsto \frac{f(z)}{|f(z)|}, \end{aligned}$$

we have the following result.

---

*Date:* 13 October 2017.

**Theorem 4** ([Mil68, 4.8, 5.2, 6.1, 6.4]). *In the commuting diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^{2n+1} \setminus \Sigma & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus V(f) \\ \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\varphi} \\ S^1 & \xlongequal{\quad} & S^1, \end{array}$$

*the vertical maps are smooth fiber bundles and the top map is a fiberwise homotopy equivalence. Moreover, the closure of  $F_0 := \varphi^{-1}(1)$  is a smooth manifold with boundary  $\Sigma$ , and both  $\Sigma$  and  $F_0$  are connected and simply connected.*

The group  $G$  acts on  $F_0$  by  $\omega_j z = (z_0, \dots, e^{2\pi i/a_j} z_j, \dots, z_n)$ , and the action of  $\pi_1(S^1, 1) =: T$  is via the homomorphism  $T \rightarrow G$  specified by sending the generator  $t$  to  $\omega := \omega_0 \cdots \omega_n$ .

**Proposition 5.** *There is a homotopy equivalence*

$$F_0 \simeq \bigvee_{\mu(f)} S^n,$$

where  $\mu(f) = \prod_{j=0}^n (a_j - 1)$ .

*Remark 1.* The number  $\mu(f)$  is exactly the multiplicity of the singularity of  $f$  at the origin. This phenomenon is generic—see [Mil68, 7.2].

Recall that the *join* of the spaces  $A$  and  $B$  is the pushout

$$A * B := C(A) \times B \coprod_{A \times B} A \times C(B).$$

For example,  $\Delta^n \cong \text{pt}^{*(n+1)}$ .

*Proof of Proposition 5.* Set  $J = C_{a_0} * \cdots * C_{a_n}$ . We have an embedding

$$\begin{aligned} J &\rightarrow \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(1) \\ (t_j \omega_j^{r_j})_{j=0}^n &\mapsto (e^{2\pi i r_j / a_j} t_j^{1/a_j})_{j=0}^n, \end{aligned}$$

which, by a sequence of straight-line homotopies, is a  $G$ -equivariant deformation retract. General properties of the join imply that  $J$  is  $(n-1)$ -connected, and in degree  $n$  we have

$$H_*(F_0) \cong H_*(\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(1)) \cong H_*(J) \cong H_* \left( \mathbb{Z}[G] \sigma \xrightarrow{\sum (-1)^j \partial_j} \mathbb{Z}[G] \{ \partial_j \sigma \} / (\partial_j \sigma - \omega_j \partial_j \sigma) \rightarrow \cdots \right),$$

where  $\sigma$  is the  $n$ -simplex given by the join of the respective units in the  $C_{a_j}$ . Thus, setting  $\eta = \prod_{j=0}^n (1 - \omega_j)$ , we also have that

$$H_n(F_0) \cong \mathbb{Z}[G] \eta \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}[G]}{\text{Ann}(\eta)} \cong \bigotimes_{j=0}^n \frac{\mathbb{Z}[C_{a_j}]}{\text{Ann}(1 - \omega_j)} \cong \bigotimes_{j=0}^n \frac{\mathbb{Z}[C_{a_j}]}{1 + \omega_j + \cdots + \omega_j^{a_j - 1}}.$$

This group is free Abelian of rank  $\mu(f)$ . Since  $F_0$  is simply connected, the claim follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.** *The manifold  $F_0$  is parallelizable.*

*Proof.* Since the top homology of  $F_0$  vanishes,  $F_0$  is non-compact by Poincaré duality, so it suffices to show that  $TF_0$  is stably trivial. Since  $\varphi$  is locally trivial, the normal bundle of  $F_0$  in  $S^{2n+1} \setminus \Sigma$ , and hence in  $S^{2n+1}$ , is trivial. Since the normal bundle of  $S^{2n+1}$  in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  is trivial, the claim follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 7.** *There are isomorphisms*

$$\tilde{H}_i(S^{2n+1} \setminus \Sigma) \cong \begin{cases} \text{coker}(1 - \omega) & i = n \\ \text{ker}(1 - \omega) & i = n + 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* By Proposition 5, the  $E^2$ -page of the Serre spectral sequence for the fibration  $\varphi$  vanishes outside of bidegrees  $(0, n)$  and  $(1, n)$ . For degree reasons, there can be no nonzero differentials, so the spectral sequence collapses at this page. Using the identification  $S^1 \simeq K(T, 1)$ , we have  $E_{*,n}^2 \cong H_*(T; H_n(F_0))$ , and the claim follows from consideration of the exact sequence of  $\mathbb{Z}[T]$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[T] \xrightarrow{t \mapsto (1-t)} \mathbb{Z}[T] \xrightarrow{t \mapsto 0} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0.$$

□

We write  $\Delta$  for the characteristic polynomial of  $\omega$ , thought of as an operator on  $H_n(F_0)$ . In other words,

$$\Delta(x) = \det(x - \omega)$$

**Proposition 8.** *The manifold  $\Sigma$  is homeomorphic to  $S^{2n-1}$  if and only if  $|\Delta(1)| = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Multiplication by  $\omega$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $|\Delta(1)| = 1$  if and only if  $S^{2n+1} \setminus \Sigma$  has the homology of a point. By Alexander and Poincaré duality,

$$H_i(S^{2n+1} \setminus \Sigma) \cong H^{2n-i}(\Sigma) \cong H^{i-1}(\Sigma),$$

so this statement is equivalent to the statement that  $\Sigma$  is a homology sphere, again by Poincaré duality. Since  $\Sigma$  is simply connected, the generalized Poincaré conjecture applies. □

Using the splitting of  $H_n(F_0)$  exhibited in the proof of Proposition 5 and passing to a convenient eigenbasis for multiplication by  $\omega_j$  on  $\mathbb{C}[C_{a_j}]$ , one finds that

$$\Delta(x) = \prod_{0 < r_j < a_j} \left( x - \prod_{j=0}^k e^{2\pi i r_j / a_j} \right).$$

**Example 1.** Taking  $n = 2m$  and  $f(z) = z_0^3 + z_1^5 + z_2^2 + \cdots + z_{2m}^2$  as in the example of interest,  $\Delta(x)$  is the cyclotomic polynomial  $\Phi_{15}(x) = x^8 - x^7 + x^5 - x^4 + x^3 - x + 1$ . Thus, in this case,  $\Delta(1) = 1$ , and  $\Sigma$  is a topological sphere.

In order to determine the smooth structure of  $\Sigma$ , we will compute the signature of  $F_0$ . For this, we make use of the following result—see [HM68, 12.4]. Recall that  $H_n(F_0) \cong \mathbb{Z}[G]/\text{Ann}(\eta)$ .

**Theorem 9** (Pham). *The intersection form on  $H_n(F_0)$  is given by*

$$\langle g, h \rangle = \epsilon(h^{-1}g\eta),$$

where  $\epsilon : \mathbb{Z}[G] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is defined by stipulating that

$$\epsilon(1) = -\epsilon(\omega) = (-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}$$

and extending linearly by zero.

**Notation 10.** Given a linear map  $\lambda : \mathbb{C}[G] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , we write  $\hat{\lambda} = \sum_{g \in G} \lambda(g)g \in \mathbb{C}[G]$ . We further define a linear automorphism  $x \mapsto \bar{x}$  of  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  by the requirement that  $\bar{g} = g^{-1}$ .

**Lemma 11.** *The signature of  $F_0$  is given by*

$$\sigma(F_0) = \sigma_+(F_0) - \sigma_-(F_0),$$

where  $\sigma_+(F_0)$  is the number of characters  $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  such that  $\chi(\hat{\epsilon}\bar{\eta})$  is positive (resp.  $\sigma_-(F_0)$ , negative).

*Proof.* The set  $\{\hat{\chi} : \chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times\}$  forms a basis for  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ , and it is easily checked that

$$\hat{\chi}\eta = \chi(\bar{\eta})\hat{\chi},$$

so this basis is an eigenbasis for multiplication by  $\eta$ , whence  $H_n(F_0; \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}\langle \hat{\chi}\eta \mid \chi(\bar{\eta}) \neq 0 \rangle$ . Moreover, the intersection form is diagonal in this basis, since

$$\langle \hat{\chi}\eta, \hat{\chi}'\eta \rangle = \epsilon(\bar{\chi}'\hat{\chi}\eta) = \begin{cases} |G|\chi(\hat{\epsilon}\bar{\eta}) & \chi = \chi' \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

by orthogonality of characters. □

Now, every character of  $G$  is a product of characters of the  $C_{a_j}$ , which are all of the form  $\chi_j(\omega_j) = e^{2\pi i r_j / a_j}$ , and it is easy to see that  $\chi(\bar{\eta}) \neq 0$  if and only if  $0 < r_j < a_j$  for each  $j$ .

**Corollary 12.** *If  $n$  is even, then  $\sigma(F_0) = N_+ - N_-$ , where*

$$N_+ = \#\left\{ (r_0, \dots, r_n) \mid 0 < r_j < a_j, 0 < \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{r_j}{a_j} \bmod 2 < 1 \right\}$$

$$N_- = \#\left\{ (r_0, \dots, r_n) \mid 0 < r_j < a_j, 1 < \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{r_j}{a_j} \bmod 2 < 2 \right\}.$$

*Proof.* We compute that

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \hat{\epsilon}\bar{\eta} &= (1 - \omega)\bar{\eta} \\ &= \bar{\eta} - \omega\bar{\eta} \\ &= \bar{\eta} - \prod_{j=0}^n \omega_j (1 - \omega_j^{-1}) \\ &= \bar{\eta} - (-1)^{n+1}\eta, \end{aligned}$$

so that, using the fact that  $n$  is even twice, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\hat{\epsilon}\bar{\eta}) &= (-1)^{n/2} \Re(\chi(\eta)) \\ &= (-1)^{n/2} \|\chi(\eta)\| \cos \arg(\chi(\eta)) \\ &= (-1)^{n/2} \|\chi(\eta)\| \cos \arg \left( \prod_{j=0}^n (1 - e^{2\pi i r_j / a_j}) \right) \\ &= (-1)^{n/2} \|\chi(\eta)\| \cos \left( \sum_{j=0}^n \arg(1 - e^{2\pi i r_j / a_j}) \right) \\ &= \|\chi(\eta)\| \cos \left( \frac{n\pi}{2} + \frac{3(n+1)\pi}{2} + \pi \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{r_j}{a_j} \right) \\ &= \|\chi(\eta)\| \sin \left( \pi \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{r_j}{a_j} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which implies the claim. □

*Proof of Theorem 1.* We set  $n = 2m$ ,  $a_0 = 3$ ,  $a_1 = 5$ , and  $a_j = 2$  for  $2 \leq j \leq 2m$ . The sum in question is now

$$\frac{r_0}{3} + \frac{r_1}{5} + \frac{2m-1}{2} = \frac{10r_0 + 6r_1 + 15(2m-1)}{30},$$

where  $r_0 \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $r_1 \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . We find that

$$10r_0 + 6r_1 \in \{16, 26, 22, 32, 28, 38, 34, 44\};$$

moreover,  $2m-1$  is odd, so  $\frac{(2m-1)}{2} \equiv \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{2} \pmod{2}$ . There are two cases, then: if  $m$  is odd, then the possible values modulo 2 are

$$\left\{ \frac{31}{30}, \frac{41}{30}, \frac{37}{30}, \frac{47}{30}, \frac{43}{30}, \frac{53}{30}, \frac{49}{30}, \frac{59}{30} \right\} \subset (1, 2);$$

if  $m$  is even, then the possible values modulo 2 are

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{30}, \frac{11}{30}, \frac{7}{30}, \frac{17}{30}, \frac{13}{30}, \frac{23}{30}, \frac{19}{30}, \frac{29}{30} \right\} \subset (0, 1).$$

From this we conclude that  $\sigma(\Sigma) = (-1)^m \cdot 8$  □

#### REFERENCES

- [Bri66] E. Brieskorn, *Beispiele zur differentialtopologie von singularitäten*, Invent. Math. **2** (1966), 1–14.
- [HM68] F. Hirzebruch and K. Mayer, *O(n)-mannigfaltigkeiten, exotische sphären und singularitäten*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 57, Springer, 1968.
- [KM63] M. Kervaire and J. Milnor, *Groups of homotopy spheres: I*, Ann. of Math. (2) **77** (1963), no. 3, 504–537.
- [Mil68] J. Milnor, *Singular points of complex hypersurfaces*, Ann. of Math. Stud., vol. 61, Princeton University Press, 1968.