

# PROBABILITY THEORY

MATH 154

## Unit 18: Mixing

**18.1.**  $T$  is **ergodic** if all events  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  fixed by  $T$  have probability 0 or 1. This can be rephrased as **Césaro decay of correlations** of all random variables  $X = 1_{T^{-k}(A)}, Y_k = 1_B$  because  $E[X_k] = P[T^{-k}(A)], E[Y] = P[B]$  and  $\text{Cov}[X_k, Y] = P[T^{-k}(A) \cap B]$  and:

**Theorem 1.**  $T$  ergodic  $\Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (P[T^{-k}(A) \cap B] - P[A]P[B]) = 0 \forall A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.* (i) The ergodic theorem gives for  $X \in \mathcal{L}^2$  the limit  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} X(T^{-k}(x)) \rightarrow E[X]$ . Given  $Y \in \mathcal{L}^2$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} X(T^{-k}(x))Y(x) \rightarrow E[X]Y(x)$ . Now take expectations  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} E[X(T^{-k})Y] \rightarrow E[X]E[Y]$ . If we take  $X = 1_A, Y = 1_B$  we get the statement.

(ii) Use contraposition. Assume  $T$  is not ergodic. Take any  $B$  with  $T(B) = B$  with  $0 < P[B] < 1$  and chose  $A = B$ . We want to show that  $B$  is trivial. The assumed identity gives  $P[A] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P[A] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P[T^{-k}(A) \cap B] \rightarrow P[A]P[B] = P[A]^2$ . But this implies either  $P[A] = 1$  or  $P[A] = 0$ .  $\square$

**18.2.**  $T$  is called **weakly mixing** if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |P[A \cap T^{-k}(B)] - P[A]P[B]| = 0$ . This looks similar than the ergodic property but there are absolute values! We have **absolute Césaro convergence**. A Kronecker system for example is ergodic but not weakly mixing. Also, no permutation on a finite probability space is weakly mixing but it is ergodic if there is a single cycle. The previous theorem shows that if  $T$  is weakly mixing, then  $T$  is ergodic. Putting the absolute values outside makes it smaller in general by the triangle inequality.

**18.3.** Define  $T \times T(x, y) = (T(x), T(y))$  on the product probability space. If  $T$  is not ergodic, then  $T^{-1}(A) = A$  then  $T^{-1}(A \times \Omega) = (T^{-1}(A) \times \Omega) = (A, \Omega)$  so that  $T \times T$  is not ergodic. We see that ergodicity of  $T \times T$  is stronger than ergodicity. What does it mean?

**Theorem 2.**  $T$  is weakly mixing if and only if  $T \times T$  is ergodic.

*Proof.* We will show this in class. It needs a bit of real analysis (see HW 8). A second proof is spectral theoretic using that  $U_T$  has no eigenvalues. There is not enough space here on two pages. We will show the even stronger statement:  $T$  is weakly mixing if and only if  $T \times T$  is weakly mixing.  $\square$

**18.4.** Note that if  $T$  is ergodic, then the power  $T^2(x) = T(T(x))$  is not necessarily ergodic. A simple example is the measure preserving transformation  $T(x) = x + 1 \pmod 6$  on the finite probability space  $\Omega = \mathbb{Z}_6 = \{0, 1, \dots, 5\}$  with  $\mathcal{A} = 2^\Omega$  and uniform probability measure  $P[A] = |A|/|\Omega|$ . The transformation  $T$  is ergodic, but  $T^2$  leaves the set  $A = \{0, 2, 4\}$  invariant and  $P[A] = 1/2$  is not in  $\{0, 1\}$ .

**18.5.** A measure preserving is called **mixing** if  $P[T^{-n}(A) \cap B] \rightarrow P[A]P[B]$ . For mixing transformations, the events  $T^n(A)$  and  $B$  become more and more independent. In particular,  $T^n(A)$  and  $A$  become more and more independent like a colored  $A$  of a dough  $\Omega$  gets mixed by kneading and folding. In probability theory, mixing means that the random variables  $X_n = 1_{T^{-n}(A)}$  and  $Y = 1_B$  become more and more decorrelated. In particular  $\text{Cov}[X_n, X_0] \rightarrow 0$ . Obviously, if  $T$  is mixing, then  $T$  is weakly mixing.

**18.6.** The linear operator  $U_T(X) = X(T^{-1})$  on  $\mathcal{L}^2$  is called the **Koopman operator** associated with  $T$ . The Hilbert space  $\mathcal{L}^2$  has the inner product  $\langle X, Y \rangle = E[\bar{X}Y]$ . The unitary property  $\langle UX, UY \rangle = \langle X, Y \rangle$  follows from the fact that  $T$  preserves probabilities. Note that  $U$  always has the trivial eigenvalue 1 with constant eigenfunction  $X = c$ . This eigenvalue is not interesting. The **spectrum** of the dynamical system is defined as the spectrum of  $U$  on the orthogonal complement of the constant random variables (which are functions of zero expectation). Every random variable  $X$  defines a **spectral measure**  $\mu = \mu_X$  on the complex unit circle defined by  $\hat{\mu}_n = \langle X, U^n X \rangle - E[X]^2 = E[XX(T^{-n})] - E[X]E[X(T^{-n})] = \text{Cov}[X, X(T^{-n})]$ .

**Theorem 3.**  $T$  is weakly mixing if and only if  $U_T$  has continuous spectrum.

**18.7.** Weakly mixing implies  $(1/n) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P[A(T^{-k}) \cap A] - P[A]^2 \rightarrow 0$  implying that the Fourier transform of the spectral measure  $1_A$  goes to zero for every  $1_A$ . The Wiener theorem gives the reverse: if  $\hat{\mu}_k \rightarrow 0$  in a Cesaro sense, then  $\mu$  has no point spectrum.

**Theorem 4** (Wiener Theorem). *If  $\mu$  is a measure on the circle  $\mathbb{T}$  with Fourier coefficients  $\hat{\mu}_k$ , then for every  $x \in \mathbb{T}$ , one has  $\mu(\{x\}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2n+1} \sum_{k=-n}^n \hat{\mu}_k e^{ikx}$ .*

*Proof.* The **Dirichlet kernel**  $D_n(t) = \sum_{k=-n}^n e^{ikt} = \frac{\sin((k+1/2)t)}{\sin(t/2)}$  satisfies  $D_n \star f(x) = S_n(f)(x) = \sum_{k=-n}^n \hat{f}(k) e^{ikx}$ . The functions  $f_n(t) := \frac{1}{2n+1} D_n(t-x) = \frac{1}{2n+1} \sum_{k=-n}^n e^{-ikx} e^{ikt}$  are bounded by 1 and go to zero uniformly outside any neighborhood of  $t = x$ . From  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{x-\epsilon}^{x+\epsilon} |d(\mu - \mu(\{x\})\delta_x)| = 0$  follows  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f_n, \mu - \mu(\{x\}) \rangle = 0$ . But we also have  $\langle f_n, \mu - \mu(\{x\}) \rangle = \langle f_n, \mu \rangle - \langle f_n, \mu(\{x\}) \rangle = \frac{1}{2n+1} \sum_{k=-n}^n \hat{\mu}_k e^{ikx} - \mu(\{x\})$ .  $\square$

**18.8.** If  $U_T$  has absolutely continuous spectrum, then by the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma,  $\hat{\mu}_n \rightarrow 0$  so that  $T$  is mixing.

**18.9.** A measure preserving transformation is called **Bernoulli** if it is isomorphic to the shift of a product probability space  $\prod_n (\Omega_n, \mathcal{A}_n, P_n)$  where each  $(\Omega_n, \mathcal{A}_n, P_n)$  is the same finite probability space with  $(\Omega = \{1, \dots, m\}, \mathcal{A} = 2^\Omega, P[\{j\}] = p_j)$ .

**Theorem 5.** *A Bernoulli transformation has absolutely continuous spectrum and so is mixing.*

**18.10.** We have the following "chaos levels"

$$\{\text{Bernoulli}\} \subset \{\text{Mixing}\} \subset \{\text{Weakly mixing}\} \subset \{\text{Ergodic}\}.$$