

Overview and recap of Dustin's talk on quantization

February 15, 2010

As throughout the last semester, let us begin by fixing a smooth projective curve X of genus $g > 1$ over a field k , and let G be a reductive group. Our discussion started from the **classical Hitchin map**:

$$T^*\mathrm{Bun}_G \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hitch}(X) = \mathrm{Sect}(X, C \times_{\mathbb{G}_m} \omega_X).$$

The actors here are Bun_G (the moduli stack of principal G -bundles over X), $C = \mathfrak{g}^* // G$ (the affine quotient of \mathfrak{g}^* with respect to the adjoint action of G) and ω_X (the sheaf of regular differentials on X). Passing to the level of rings of functions, we get a map:

$$\mathfrak{z}^{cl}(X) := \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Hitch}(X)) \xrightarrow{h^{cl}} \Gamma(T^*\mathrm{Bun}_G, \mathcal{O}). \quad (1)$$

The connected components of Bun_G are Bun_G^γ , indexed by elements $\gamma \in \pi_1(G)$. In Andrei's Oct 22 lecture, we proved the following:

Proposition 1 *The map h^{cl} becomes an isomorphism when we restrict it to any connected component $\mathrm{Bun}_G^\gamma \subset \mathrm{Bun}_G$.*

Proposition 2 *The algebra $\Gamma(T^*\mathrm{Bun}_G, \mathcal{O})$ has trivial Poisson bracket.*

Our main focus last semester was to quantize the map h^{cl} , i.e. to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1 *There exists a filtered commutative algebra $\mathfrak{z}(X)$ such that $\mathrm{gr} \mathfrak{z}(X) \cong \mathfrak{z}^{cl}(X)$, and a map:*

$$\mathfrak{z}(X) \xrightarrow{h} \Gamma(\mathrm{Bun}_G, D'),$$

such that the vertical maps in the following diagram are isomorphisms, and the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{gr} \mathfrak{z}(X) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{gr} h} & \mathrm{gr} \Gamma(\mathrm{Bun}_G, D') \\
\cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{cl}}(X) & \xrightarrow{h^{\mathrm{cl}}} & \Gamma(T^* \mathrm{Bun}_G, \mathcal{O})
\end{array} \tag{2}$$

In the above, D' denotes the sheaf appropriately twisted differential operators on the stack Bun_G .

Of course, one can restrict the above to any connected component Bun_G^γ :

$$h_\gamma : \mathfrak{z}(X) \xrightarrow{h} \Gamma(\mathrm{Bun}_G, D'_{\mathrm{Bun}_G}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{rest}} \Gamma(\mathrm{Bun}_G^\gamma, D'),$$

and:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathrm{gr} h_\gamma : \mathrm{gr} \mathfrak{z}(X) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{gr} h} & \mathrm{gr} \Gamma(\mathrm{Bun}_G, D') & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{rest}} & \mathrm{gr} \Gamma(\mathrm{Bun}_G^\gamma, D') \\
\cong \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathrm{gr} h_\gamma^{\mathrm{cl}} : \mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{cl}}(X) & \xrightarrow{h^{\mathrm{cl}}} & \Gamma(T^* \mathrm{Bun}_G, \mathcal{O}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{rest}} & \Gamma(T^* \mathrm{Bun}_G^\gamma, \mathcal{O})
\end{array}$$

Then we have the following corollaries:

Corollary 1 *The morphism $\mathrm{gr} h_\gamma$ is an isomorphism.*

Corollary 2 *The morphism h_γ is a filtered isomorphism (the quantization of Proposition 1).*

Corollary 3 *The algebra $\Gamma(\mathrm{Bun}_G, D')$ is commutative (the quantization of Proposition 2).*

Corollary 4 *The vertical morphism on the right in (2), while a priori just injective, is actually an isomorphism.*

The Theorem was ultimately proved in Dustin’s Dec 3 talk, and today we will review both the construction of $\mathfrak{z}(X)$ and the proof of the theorem. First, we will recall how we proved the “classical” Propositions 1 and 2, via

the local-to-global principle.

Take any closed point $x \in X$, and consider the ind-scheme $\text{Bun}_G^{\infty, x}$ of principal G -bundles on X with level structure at x (i.e. with a fixed trivialization on the formal neighborhood $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_x$). The group ind-scheme $G(\mathcal{K}_x)$ acts on $\text{Bun}_G^{\infty, x}$ by changing the trivialization.

Whenever we have an action of a group scheme H on a stack \mathcal{Y} , this induces an “infinitesimal action” $\mathfrak{h} = \text{Lie } H \rightarrow \text{Vect}(\mathcal{Y})$. Taking the dual of this, we get a “moment map” $T^*\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*$. In our case, this construction provides a map:

$$T^*\text{Bun}_G^{\infty, x} \rightarrow (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{K}_x)^* \cong \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{K}_x}. \quad (3)$$

On the rings of functions, this corresponds to a map:

$$\overline{\text{Sym}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{K}_x)} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{h}_x^{cl}} \Gamma(T^*\text{Bun}_G^{\infty, x}, \mathcal{O}). \quad (4)$$

Modding out by the $G(\mathcal{O}_x)$ action means forgetting the trivialization, and therefore $\text{Bun}_G^{\infty, x}/G(\mathcal{O}_x) = \text{Bun}_G$. This implies that the subscheme:

$$T^*\text{Bun}_G \times_{\text{Bun}_G} \text{Bun}_G^{\infty, x} \hookrightarrow T^*\text{Bun}_G^{\infty, x}$$

consists of cotangent vectors that are killed by the $G(\mathcal{O}_x)$ -action. Therefore, the restriction of (3) gives:

$$T^*\text{Bun}_G \times_{\text{Bun}_G} \text{Bun}_G^{\infty, x} \rightarrow (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{O}_x)^\perp \cong (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{K}_x/\mathcal{O}_x)^* \cong \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{O}_x}. \quad (5)$$

Passing to rings of functions, we get:

$$\text{Sym}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{K}_x/\mathcal{O}_x) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{h}_x^{cl}} \Gamma(T^*\text{Bun}_G \times_{\text{Bun}_G} \text{Bun}_G^{\infty, x}, \mathcal{O}). \quad (6)$$

Now we take $G(\mathcal{O}_x)$ -invariants in the above, which corresponds to the following map on spaces:

$$T^*\text{Bun}_G \rightarrow (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{K}_x/\mathcal{O}_x)^* // G(\mathcal{O}_x) \rightarrow \text{Sect}(\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_x, C \times_{\mathbb{G}_m} \omega_{\mathcal{O}_x}) =: \text{Hitch}_x. \quad (7)$$

The second map was proved to be an isomorphism in the lectures. Then, the above gives rise to the following morphism on rings:

$$\mathfrak{z}_x^{cl} := \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{K}_x / \mathcal{O}_x)^{G(\mathcal{O}_x)} \xrightarrow{h_x^{cl}} \Gamma(T^*\text{Bun}_G, \mathcal{O}). \quad (8)$$

The map (7) is called the **local Hitchin map**. The natural inclusion $\text{Hitch}(X) \hookrightarrow \text{Hitch}_x$ has the property that the following composition is precisely the local Hitchin map:

$$T^*\text{Bun}_G \longrightarrow \text{Hitch}(X) \hookrightarrow \text{Hitch}_x.$$

At the level of functions, we just reverse all arrows:

$$h_x^{cl} : \mathfrak{z}_x^{cl} \rightarrow \mathfrak{z}^{cl}(X) \xrightarrow{h^{cl}} \Gamma(T^*\text{Bun}_G, \mathcal{O}). \quad (9)$$

As x varies, the local Hitchin maps can be “glued” together, by means of the D_X -scheme:

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Hitch} \xlongequal{\quad} \text{Jets}(C \times_{\mathbb{G}_m} \omega_X) \\ \downarrow \\ X \end{array}$$

The fiber of Hitch over x is just the local Hitch_x , while the scheme of all horizontal sections $\text{HorSect}(X, \text{Hitch})$ coincides with the global $\text{Hitch}(X)$. We will write $\mathfrak{z}^{cl} = \mathcal{O}(\text{Hitch})$, and then the compositions (9) patch up over all x to give a global morphism:

$$h_{gl}^{cl} : \mathfrak{z}^{cl} \rightarrow \mathfrak{z}^{cl}(X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{h^{cl}} \Gamma(T^*\text{Bun}_G, \mathcal{O}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X. \quad (10)$$

The above composition merely reflects the properties of conformal blocks: recall that for a D_X -algebra \mathcal{B} , there exists an algebra $H_{\nabla}(X, \mathcal{B})$ of **conformal blocks** and a horizontal morphism:

$$\phi_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow H_{\nabla}(X, \mathcal{B}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X,$$

which is universal in the following sense: any horizontal surjection $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow B \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$ factors through $\phi_{\mathcal{B}}$. In other words, the functor $H_{\nabla}(X, \cdot)$ is left adjoint to the functor $\cdot \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$. Last semester, we proved the following:

Lemma 1 *The map $\mathfrak{z}^{cl} \rightarrow \mathfrak{z}^{cl}(X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$ of (10) is horizontal, and*

$$H_{\nabla}(X, \mathfrak{z}^{cl}) = \mathfrak{z}^{cl}(X).$$

Therefore, (10) merely reflects the left-adjointness of the functor H_{∇} .

Let us present the general strategy for quantizing the above discussion (as in Sam's third lecture), emphasizing the places where we run into trouble. Back up to the group $G(\mathcal{K}_x)$ acting on $\text{Bun}_G^{\infty, x}$. From this, we get an infinitesimal action:

$$\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{K}_x \longrightarrow \Gamma(\text{Bun}_G^{\infty, x}, \text{Vect}) \hookrightarrow \Gamma(\text{Bun}_G^{\infty, x}, D_{\text{Bun}_G^{\infty, x}}),$$

where $D_{\text{Bun}_G^{\infty, x}}$ denotes the sheaf of differential operators on $\text{Bun}_G^{\infty, x}$. Since $D_{\text{Bun}_G^{\infty, x}}$ is a sheaf of algebras, we get a map:

$$\overline{U(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{K}_x)} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{h}_x} \Gamma(\text{Bun}_G^{\infty, x}, D_{\text{Bun}_G^{\infty, x}}). \quad (11)$$

Modding out by the $G(\mathcal{O}_x)$ vector fields gives us a map:

$$\mathbb{V}_x := \frac{\overline{U(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{K}_x)}}{\overline{U(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{O}_x)}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{h}_x} \Gamma(\text{Bun}_G^{\infty, x}, \pi^* D_{\text{Bun}_G}), \quad (12)$$

where $\pi : \text{Bun}_G^{\infty, x} \rightarrow \text{Bun}_G$ is just the map that quotients out the $G(\mathcal{O}_x)$ action. Here, \mathbb{V}_x denotes the **vacuum module**, defined by the property:

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{K}_x}(\mathbb{V}_x, M) \cong M^{G(\mathcal{O}_x)}.$$

Therefore, take $G(\mathcal{O}_x)$ -invariants in (12):

$$\mathbb{V}_x^{G(\mathcal{O}_x)} \xrightarrow{h_x} \Gamma(\text{Bun}_G, D_{\text{Bun}_G}). \quad (13)$$

One would like this map to be the quantization of (8), but alas! It turns out that both the left and the right hand side of (13) are trivial: they are equal to \mathbb{C} . To get some non-trivial objects, we must twist both \mathbb{V}_x and D_{Bun_G} , as in Dustin's first talk. Let's describe how this works.

Take the canonical line bundle \mathcal{L}_{\det} of Bun_G , whose fiber over a principal G -bundle P_G is canonically:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\det}|_{P_G} \cong \det(R\Gamma(X, \mathfrak{g}_{P_G})).$$

On the representation-theoretic side, take the central extension:

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \longrightarrow \widehat{G(\mathcal{K}_x)} \longrightarrow G(\mathcal{K}_x) \longrightarrow 1.$$

The line bundle $\pi^*\mathcal{L}_{\det}$ on $\text{Bun}_G^{\infty,x}$ is not $G(\mathcal{K}_x)$ -equivariant, but it is $\widehat{G(\mathcal{K}_x)}$ -equivariant, where the central \mathbb{G}_m acts fiberwise by homotheties. Taking Lie algebras, we obtain a map:

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{K}_x} \longrightarrow \Gamma(\text{Bun}_G^{\infty,x}, D(\pi^*\mathcal{L}_{\det}, \pi^*\mathcal{L}_{\det})), \quad (14)$$

But this is not exactly what we need. In Sam's talk, we showed how to define the sheaf $D(\mathcal{L}_{\det}^\lambda, \mathcal{L}_{\det}^\lambda)$ for any complex number λ . It is called the algebra of **twisted differential operators**. We will use $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$, so define:

$$D_{\text{Bun}_G}^{\text{crit}} := D(\mathcal{L}_{\det}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathcal{L}_{\det}^{\frac{1}{2}})$$

Together with this, we also define the Kac-Moody extension $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{crit}}$ to be “half” of the extension $\widehat{\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{K}_x}$, i.e. constructed using $\frac{1}{2}$ times the Killing form. As in (14), we obtain a map:

$$\overline{U(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{crit}})} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{h}_x} \Gamma(\text{Bun}_G^{\infty,x}, D(\pi^*\mathcal{L}_{\det}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \pi^*\mathcal{L}_{\det}^{\frac{1}{2}})).$$

This is the correct twist of the map (11). Now it's time to go through the usual story: mod out by the $G(\mathcal{O}_x)$ directions:

$$\mathbb{V}_x^{\text{crit}} := \frac{\overline{U(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{crit}})}}{\overline{U(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{O}_x \oplus \mathbb{C})}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{h}_x} \Gamma(\text{Bun}_G^{\infty,x}, \pi^* D_{\text{Bun}_G}^{\text{crit}}).$$

The critical twisted vacuum $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{crit}}$ -module $\mathbb{V}_x^{\text{crit}}$ is defined by the property:

$$\text{Hom}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{crit}}}(\mathbb{V}_x^{\text{crit}}, M) \cong M^{G(\mathcal{O}_x)}.$$

Therefore taking $G(\mathcal{O}_x)$ -invariants, we obtain:

$$\mathfrak{z}_x := \text{End}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{crit}}}(\mathbb{V}_x^{\text{crit}}) = (\mathbb{V}_x^{\text{crit}})^{G(\mathcal{O}_x)} \xrightarrow{h_x} \Gamma(\text{Bun}_G, D_{\text{Bun}_G}^{\text{crit}}). \quad (15)$$

This is the correct quantization of the map (8). As in the classical case, these maps can be glued as x ranges over X . Namely, there exists a **commutative**

D_X -algebra \mathfrak{z} whose fiber over $x \in X$ is just \mathfrak{z}_x defined above. Moreover, the morphisms (15) glue and give rise to a morphism:

$$\mathfrak{z} \xrightarrow{h_{gl}} \Gamma(\mathrm{Bun}_G, D_{\mathrm{Bun}_G}^{crit}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X. \quad (16)$$

We claim (and will later argue) that this morphism is horizontal. Therefore, we are led to define:

$$\mathfrak{z}(X) = H_{\nabla}(X, \mathfrak{z}),$$

which is the correct quantization of the Poisson algebra $\mathfrak{z}^{cl}(X)$ of (1). From the left-adjointness of H_{∇} and the horizontality of the map h , we deduce the existence of an algebra morphism:

$$\mathfrak{z}(X) \xrightarrow{h} \Gamma(\mathrm{Bun}_G, D_{\mathrm{Bun}_G}^{crit}), \quad (17)$$

which is the correct quantization of the map h^{cl} from (1), as stated in Theorem 1. Now let us try to justify the claim we just made: why is the morphism h_{gl} from (16) horizontal? This can be sketched in several sentences:

1. The assignment $x \longrightarrow V \otimes \mathcal{K}_x$ defines a crystal of l.l.c.v.s over X , for any finite-dimensional vector space V .
2. The assignment $x \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{K}_x$ defines a crystal of Lie algebras of l.l.c.v.s over X .
3. The assignment $x \longrightarrow \mathbb{V}_x^{crit}$ defines a crystal of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{K}_x$ modules over X .
4. The assignment $x \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}_{\mathfrak{g}^{crit}}(\mathbb{V}_x^{crit}) = \mathfrak{z}_x$ defines a crystal of associative algebras over X . In particular, Jacob's talk on crystals implies the existence of the \mathcal{D}_X -algebra \mathfrak{z} .
5. The assignment $x \longrightarrow \mathrm{Bun}_G^{\infty, x}$ defines a crystal of schemes over X .
6. The assignment $x \longrightarrow G(\mathcal{K}_x)$ defines a crystal of group ind-schemes over X , and its action on $\mathrm{Bun}_G^{\infty, x}$ is compatible with the crystal structure.
7. The assignment $x \longrightarrow \widehat{G}_x^{crit}$ defines a crystal of group ind-schemes over X , and its action on $\pi_x^* \mathcal{L}_{\det}$ is compatible with the crystal structure.

8. The maps $\widetilde{h}_x, \widetilde{h}_x, h_x$ are compatible with the crystal structure. In other words, the morphism (16) is horizontal.
9. Finally, the filtration on the vacuum modules \mathbb{V}_x^{crit} and the filtration on the algebras \mathfrak{z}_x are compatible with the crystal structure. Therefore, we obtain a filtration on the \mathcal{D}_X -algebra \mathfrak{z} and on its algebra of conformal blocks $\mathfrak{z}(X)$.

The canonical injections $\text{gr } \mathfrak{z}_x \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{z}_x^{cl}$ are also compatible with the crystal structure, so they induce an injection $\text{gr } \mathfrak{z} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{z}^{cl}$. It was proved by Feigin and Frenkel that this injection is actually an isomorphism:

$$\text{gr } \mathfrak{z} \cong \mathfrak{z}^{cl} \Rightarrow H_{\nabla}(X, \text{gr } \mathfrak{z}) \cong H_{\nabla}(X, \mathfrak{z}^{cl}).$$

Moreover, the canonical morphism $\mathfrak{z} \rightarrow H_{\nabla}(X, \mathfrak{z}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$ induces a surjection:

$$\text{gr } \mathfrak{z} \rightarrow \text{gr } H_{\nabla}(X, \mathfrak{z}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X = \text{gr } \mathfrak{z}(X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X.$$

By the left-adjointness of conformal blocks, this yields a surjection:

$$H_{\nabla}(X, \text{gr } \mathfrak{z}) \rightarrow \text{gr } \mathfrak{z}(X).$$

So let's see where we stand: the map (17) induces the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{gr } \mathfrak{z}(X) & \xrightarrow{\text{gr } h} & \text{gr } \Gamma(\text{Bun}_G, D_{\text{Bun}_G}^{crit}) \\ a \uparrow & & \downarrow b \\ H_{\nabla}(X, \text{gr } \mathfrak{z}) & & \Gamma(T^*\text{Bun}_G, \mathcal{O}) \\ \cong \downarrow & & \uparrow h^{cl}(X) \\ H_{\nabla}(X, \mathfrak{z}^{cl}) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathfrak{z}^{cl}(X) \end{array}$$

As we previously said, a is surjective and b is injective, while the map $h^{cl}(X)$ is an injection (it becomes an isomorphism only when we restrict to a connected component). Therefore, we deduce that a must be injective, and thus an isomorphism. This proves Theorem 1.