

K. Fukaya - 22/1/10 - Cyclic symmetry and numerical invariants in Lagr. Floer theory, part II*: CY case

(cf. 0907.4219, 0908.0148)

(* part I: Fano case was in Korea last week)

- C finite dim^l vector space, or $C = \Omega^*(L)$ de Rham complex, $\dim L = n$
work over $\Lambda = \{ \sum a_i T^{\lambda_i}, a_i \in \mathbb{R} \}$
- $\langle u, v \rangle: C^k \otimes C^{n-k} \rightarrow \Lambda$ st. $\langle u, v \rangle = (-1)^{1 + \deg' u} \deg v \langle v, u \rangle$
where $\deg' = \deg + 1$.

(in dR case, $\langle u, v \rangle = (-1)^{\deg u \cdot (\deg v + 1)} \int_L u \wedge v$)

- Filtered cyclic A_∞ -alg: $(C, \{m_k\}_{k=0}^\infty, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ where $m_k :=$

$$m_{k,\beta}: C[1]^{\otimes k} \rightarrow C[1] \text{ of degree } = 1 - \mu(\beta)$$

where $\mu: G \rightarrow 2\mathbb{Z}$ (Maslov index)

$E: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ proper map (energy)

$$m_k = \sum_{\beta} T^{E(\beta)} m_{k,\beta}$$

$$\text{satisfying } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1) \sum_{\substack{k_1+k_2=k \\ i}} \pm m_{k_i}(x_1, \dots, m_{k_2}(x_i, \dots), \dots) = 0 \\ 2) \langle m_k(x_1, \dots, x_k), x_0 \rangle = (-1)^* \langle m_k(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}), x_k \rangle \end{array} \right.$$

Thm: $(FO^3 + \dots)$

LCM Lagr. subfld, rel-spin $\Rightarrow (\Omega^* L \otimes \Lambda, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ can be equipped with $\{m_k\}$ giving it a structure of cyclic filtered A_∞ -alg, well-defd up to pseudo-isotopy.

- Homotopy equiv^{ce}:

$$f: (C, m, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) \rightarrow (C', m', \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle') = \{ f_k: C[1]^{\otimes k} \rightarrow C'[1] \}$$

an A_∞ -quasi-isomorphism, compat. with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, ie:

st. 1) $\sum m_k^t (f_{k_1}(\dots), \dots, f_{k_l}(\dots)) = \sum f_k(\dots, m_k(\dots), \dots)$
 (A ∞ -homomorphism)

2) $\sum_{k_1+k_2=k} \langle f_{k_1}(x_1, \dots), f_{k_2}(\dots, x_k) \rangle = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } k \neq 2 \\ \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle & \text{for } k=2. \end{cases}$

3) quasi-isom., i.e. isom. on H^* .

• Pseudo-isotopy :=

Def. $(C, \{m_k^t\}, \{C_k^t\}, \langle, \rangle)$ is a pseudoisotopy if:

consider $C \hat{\otimes} \Omega^*([0,1]) \ni x_i = a_i(t) + b_i(t) dt$;

equip it with $m_k(x_1, \dots, x_k) = x + y dt$, where

$$x(t) = m_k^t(a_1(t), \dots, a_k(t))$$

$$y(t) = \begin{cases} \sum_i m_k^t(a_1, \dots, b_i(t), \dots, a_k) + C_k^t(a_1(t), \dots, a_k(t)) & k \neq 1 \\ m_1^t(b_1(t)) + C_1^t(a_1(t)) + \frac{da_1}{dt} & k=1. \end{cases}$$

- Require:
- $(C \hat{\otimes} \Omega^*([0,1]), m_k)$ A ∞ -relations
 - m_k^t, C_k^t cyclically symmetric.

Say $(C, \{m^0\}, \langle, \rangle) \underset{\text{pseudoiso}}{\sim} (C, \{m^1\}, \langle, \rangle)$ if \exists pseudoisotopy with $m_k^t|_{t=0} = m_k^0, m_k^t|_{t=1} = m_k^1$.

Remarks: • Pseudoisotopic \Rightarrow homotopy equivalent
 but converse is presumably false.

• Continuation in Floer theory gives pseudoisotopy.

Now focus on CY3 case, i.e. $n=3$, and $\mu: G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is $\mu \equiv 0$.

Hence $\deg m_{k,\beta} = 1 \quad \forall \beta$ after shift

Superpotential: $\Psi': C^1 \rightarrow \Lambda_0, \quad \Psi'(b) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k+1} \langle m_k(b \dots b), b \rangle$

Narrow-Cartan scheme: $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(C) = \{b \in C^1 / \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_k(b \dots b) = 0\}$

(equivalently: defined $m_0^b = 0$).

\sim gauge equivalence; $\mathcal{M}(C) = \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(C) / \sim \subset H^1(C, m_0^1) = H^1(L; \Lambda_0)$

Lemma: $\| b \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(C) \Leftrightarrow b$ is a critical point of Ψ' .

(easy, using cyclic symmetry to calculate $\nabla \Psi'$).

Problem:

$(C, \{m_k^t\}, \{c_k^t\}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ pseudo isohopy

$f^t: (C, \{m_k^0\}) \rightarrow (C, \{m_k^t\})$ induced homotopy equivalences

Then $f_{*}^t: \mathcal{M}(C, m^0) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}(C, m^t)$.

Question: $\Psi_t'(f^t(b)) \stackrel{?}{=} \Psi_0'(b)$?

ANSWER: (NO)

Compute: Let $b_t = f_{*}^t(b) := \sum_k f_k^t(b \dots b)$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Psi_t'(b_t) = \frac{d}{dt} \sum_k \frac{1}{k+1} \langle m_k^t(b_t \dots b_t), b_t \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{k_1+k_2 \geq 1} \langle c_{k_1}^t(b_t \dots b_t), m_{k_2}^t(b_t \dots b_t) \rangle$$

$$= - \langle c_0^t(1), m_0^t(1) \rangle$$

since, if sum included $k_1=k_2=0$, the sum would be $\overbrace{=0} \langle \sum_k c_k^t(b_t \dots b_t), \sum_k m_k^t(b_t \dots b_t) \rangle$

Def: $(C, \{m_k\}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, m_{-1})$ is an inhomogeneous cyclic filtered A ∞ -alg.

if: $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bullet (C, \{m_k\}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) \text{ cyclic filtered A}\infty\text{-alg.} \\ \bullet m_{-1} \in \Lambda_+ \end{array} \right.$

$(C, \{m_k^t\}, \{c_k^t\}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, m_{-1}^t)$ is a pseudo isohopy if

$\bullet (C, \{m_k^t\}, \{c_k^t\}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ pseudo-iso of cyclic filtered A ∞ -alg.

$\bullet dm_{-1}^t/dt = \langle c_0^t(1), m_0^t(1) \rangle$

Now define $\Psi: \mathcal{M}(C, \{m_k\}) \rightarrow \Lambda_0$

$$\Psi(b) = \sum_k \frac{1}{k+1} \langle m_k(b \dots b), b \rangle + m_{-1}.$$

Lemma: $\left\| \begin{array}{l} (C, m^0, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, m_{-1}^0) \underset{p\text{-iso.}}{\sim} (C, m^1, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, m_{-1}^1) \\ \Rightarrow \Psi^1(f_*(b)) = \Psi^0(b) \text{ on } \mathcal{M}(C, \{m^0\}) \end{array} \right\|$

[NB: idea of m_{-1} comes from D. Joyce]

Thm: $\left\| \begin{array}{l} LCM, c_1(M) = 0, \dim_{\mathbb{C}} M = 3; L \text{ Lagr., rel. spin, } \mu_L = 0 \\ J \text{ almost-}\alpha \text{ str. s/t suitable condition } (*) \\ \Rightarrow \text{can define } (\Omega(L), \{m_k\}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, m_{-1}) \text{ inhom. cyclic} \\ \text{filtered Aoo-alg., well-def. up to pseudoisotopy (still fixing } J) \end{array} \right\|$

Condition (*): $\left\| \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{M}_1(\alpha, J) = \left\{ u: S^2 \rightarrow M \mid \begin{array}{l} J\text{-holom.} \\ [u] = \alpha \end{array} \right\} / \text{Aut}(S^2, z_0) \\ \downarrow \text{ev: } u \mapsto u(z_0) \\ M \\ \text{Require } \text{ev}(\mathcal{M}_1(\alpha, J)) \cap L = \emptyset \quad \forall \alpha \neq 0. \end{array} \right\|$

For fixed J , $\Psi_J: \mathcal{M}(C, m) \rightarrow \Lambda_0$ is an invariant
Remains constant if vary J while keeping $(*)$.

In general, jumps by wall-crossing!

J_0, J_1 acs satisfying $(*)$, $J = [J_t]_{t \in [0,1]}$

$$\mathcal{M}_1(\alpha, J) = \bigcup_t \mathcal{M}_1(\alpha, J_t)$$

$$\downarrow \text{ev} \\ M$$

$$n(\alpha) := \#(L \cap \text{ev}_* \mathcal{M}_1(\alpha, J)) \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

Then consider:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}(L, \mathcal{J}_0) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{f_*} & \mathcal{M}(L, \mathcal{J}_1) \\ b \in & & \\ \downarrow \Psi_{\mathcal{J}_0} & & \downarrow \Psi_{\mathcal{J}_1} \\ \Lambda_0 & & \Lambda_0 \end{array}$$

Thm: (wall-crossing formula):

$$\| \Psi_{\mathcal{J}_0}(b) - \Psi_{\mathcal{J}_1}(f_*(b)) = \sum_{\alpha} n(\alpha) T^{E(\alpha)}$$

Relation to earlier works:

1) Solomon's thesis (cf. Welschinger):

$$\dim M = 3, \quad c_1 M = 0, \quad \tau: M \rightarrow M, \quad \tau^2 = 1, \quad \tau^* \mathcal{J} = -\mathcal{J}$$

$$L = \{x \in M / \tau(x) = x\}$$

$$\forall \beta \in \pi_2(M, L), \quad \mathcal{M}(\beta) = \{ \omega: (D^2, \partial) \rightarrow (M, L) \text{ J-hol. } \}_{\omega \cdot \mathcal{J} = \beta} / \text{Aut } D^2$$

$$n_{\beta} = \# \mathcal{M}(\beta)$$

\leadsto Solomon shows $\sum n_{\beta} T^{E(\beta)}$ is well-def^d invariant.

FOOD: $\tau: M \rightarrow M$ antiholom. involution, $L = \text{Fix } \tau$

$\Rightarrow m_k: \Omega(L)^{\otimes k} \rightarrow \Omega(L)$ satisfies

$$m_{k, \beta}(x_1 \dots x_k) = (-1)^* m_{k, \tau(\beta)}(x_k \dots x_1) \quad \forall \beta \in \pi_2(X, L)$$

$$\text{where } * = \frac{\mu(\beta)}{2} + k + 1 + \sum_{i < j} \deg' x_i \deg' x_j$$

(look at how τ acts $\mathcal{M}_k(\beta; x_1 \dots x_k) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}_k(\tau(\beta); x_k \dots x_1)$)
& orientation issues ...

For $\mu_L = 0$ and $k=0$, get: $m_0(1) = -m_0(1) = 0$.

Hence 0 is a Maurer-Cartan element.

Conj: | Solomon's invt = $\Psi_{\mathcal{J}}(0)$.

NB: independence of J is ok, due to cancellations in wall-crossing formula b/w n_α and $n_{\tau(\alpha)}$

Lemma: $\left\| \begin{array}{l} J_0, J, \tau\text{-anti-invariant, isotopic through anti-invt } J_t \\ \Rightarrow \Psi_{J_0}(0) = \Psi_{J_1}(0). \end{array} \right.$

2) P. Liu 0210257

• LCM, S^1 acts on M , freely on L

$\beta \in \pi_2(M, L)$, $\dim \mathcal{M}(\beta) = 0$

$\Rightarrow \exists$ well-defined $\# \mathcal{M}(\beta) \in \mathbb{Q}$ (indep^t of J , but may depend on the S^1 -action)

(uses S^1 -equivariant perturbation & fact that S^1 acts freely)
on $\partial \mathcal{M}(\beta)$

• If LCM, S^1 acts freely on L , assume $c_1(M) = 0$, $\dim 3$ and $M_L = 0$ on $\pi_2(M, L)$

($\Rightarrow \dim \mathcal{M}(\beta) = 0 \forall \beta$)

$[\gamma] :=$ class of an S^1 -orbit $\in H_1(L, \mathbb{Z})$

NB: $\# \mathcal{M}(\beta) \neq 0 \Rightarrow [\partial \beta] = k[\gamma]$ for some k .

$x = [\gamma] \cdot - : H^1(L, \Lambda_0) \rightarrow \Lambda_0$

$y = e^x : H^1(L, \Lambda_0) \rightarrow \Lambda_0$ (ie. compose with $\exp: \Lambda_0 \rightarrow \Lambda_0$)

$\bar{\Phi} = \sum_k \sum_{\partial \beta = k[\gamma]} \# \mathcal{M}'(\beta) y^k \tau^{E(\beta)} : H^1(L, \Lambda_0) \rightarrow \Lambda_0$

Conj: $\left\| \begin{array}{l} 1) \{b / \nabla \bar{\Phi}(b) = 0\} = \mathcal{M}(L) \\ 2) \Psi_L = \bar{\Phi} \text{ on } \mathcal{M}(L) \text{ for } J = S^1\text{-invt cx-structure} \end{array} \right.$

(2) says: Counting discs with weight $\exp(k[\gamma] \cdot b) \iff \sum m_\ell(b \dots b)$

Remark: some indept work by Jacovino