

## Math 112 Homework 4 Solutions

### Problem 1.

(a) Since  $d(x, a) \geq 0 \forall a \in A$ , we always have  $d(x, A) \geq 0$ . If  $d(x, A) = 0$ , then for any  $r > 0$  we know that  $r$  is not a lower bound of  $\{d(x, a), a \in A\}$ , i.e.  $\exists a \in A$  such that  $d(x, a) < r$ . We conclude that  $x \in \bar{A}$ . Conversely, if  $x \in \bar{A}$ , then for any  $r > 0$  there exists  $a \in A$  such that  $d(x, a) < r$ , so  $d(x, A) \leq d(x, a) < r$ . We conclude that  $d(x, A)$  cannot be positive; so  $d(x, A) = 0$ .

(b) For every positive integer  $n$ , since  $d(x, A) = \inf\{d(x, a), a \in A\}$ , we know that  $d(x, A) + \frac{1}{n}$  is not a lower bound of  $\{d(x, a), a \in A\}$ . So there exists  $a_n \in A$  such that  $r_n = d(x, a_n) < d(x, A) + \frac{1}{n}$ . So  $d(x, A) \leq r_n < d(x, A) + \frac{1}{n}$ . This implies that  $\{r_n\}$  converges to  $d(x, A)$ . (given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N$  such that  $\frac{1}{N} < \epsilon$ , and for every  $n \geq N$  we have  $|r_n - d(x, A)| < \frac{1}{n} < \epsilon$ ).

(c) Assume  $A$  is compact: then by Theorem 3.6 we know that the sequence  $\{a_n\}$  constructed in (b) has a convergent subsequence  $\{a_{n_i}\}$ . Let  $a \in A$  be the limit of this subsequence. Then we have  $d(x, a) \leq d(x, a_{n_i}) + d(a_{n_i}, a)$ . Since  $d(x, a_{n_i}) \rightarrow d(x, A)$  and  $d(a_{n_i}, a) \rightarrow 0$ , the right-hand side converges to  $d(x, A)$ , and so  $d(x, a) \leq d(x, A)$ . However  $d(x, a) \geq d(x, A)$  by definition of  $d(x, A)$ , so  $d(x, a) = d(x, A)$ .

### Problem 2.

(a) First we prove that  $x_n > \sqrt{\alpha}$  for all  $n$ . Indeed,  $x_1 > \sqrt{\alpha}$ ; and, assuming that  $x_n > \sqrt{\alpha}$ , we have  $x_{n+1} - \sqrt{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}(x_n + \frac{\alpha}{x_n} - 2\sqrt{\alpha}) = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{x_n} - \sqrt{\alpha/x_n})^2 > 0$ , so  $x_{n+1} > \sqrt{\alpha}$ . So by induction  $x_n > \sqrt{\alpha}$  for all  $n$ . As a consequence, for all  $n$  we have  $\frac{\alpha}{x_n} < \sqrt{\alpha} < x_n$ , so  $x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2}(x_n + \frac{\alpha}{x_n}) < \frac{1}{2}(x_n + x_n) = x_n$ . So the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  decreases monotonically.

Since the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  decreases monotonically and admits the lower bound  $\sqrt{\alpha}$ , by Theorem 3.14 it converges to a certain limit  $x \geq \sqrt{\alpha}$ . Since  $x_n \rightarrow x$ , we have  $\frac{\alpha}{x_n} \rightarrow \frac{\alpha}{x}$ , so  $x_{n+1} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(x + \frac{\alpha}{x})$ . However  $x_{n+1} \rightarrow x$ , so by uniqueness of the limit we have  $x = \frac{1}{2}(x + \frac{\alpha}{x})$ , which implies that  $x = \frac{\alpha}{x}$ , i.e.  $x = \sqrt{\alpha}$ . (This can also be shown directly by estimating  $|x_{n+1} - \alpha|$ ).

(b)  $\epsilon_{n+1} = x_{n+1} - \sqrt{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}(x_n + \frac{\alpha}{x_n} - 2\sqrt{\alpha}) = \frac{1}{2x_n}(x_n^2 + \alpha - 2x_n\sqrt{\alpha}) = \frac{1}{2x_n}(x_n - \sqrt{\alpha})^2 = \epsilon_n^2/2x_n$ . Since  $x_n > \sqrt{\alpha}$ , we conclude that  $\epsilon_{n+1} < \epsilon_n^2/2\sqrt{\alpha}$ .

Setting  $\beta = 2\sqrt{\alpha}$ , we show by induction on  $n$  that  $\epsilon_{n+1} < \beta(\epsilon_1/\beta)^{2^n}$ . For  $n = 1$  we have  $\epsilon_2 < \epsilon_1^2/\beta = \beta(\epsilon_1/\beta)^2$ . Assume that  $\epsilon_n < \beta(\epsilon_1/\beta)^{2^n}$ : then  $\epsilon_{n+1} < \epsilon_n^2/\beta < \beta(\epsilon_1/\beta)^{2^{n+1}}$ . So the inequality holds for all  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

(c) If  $\alpha = 3$  and  $x_1 = 2$ , then  $\epsilon_1 = 2 - \sqrt{3} \simeq 0.268 < 0.3$ , and  $\beta = 2\sqrt{3} \simeq 3.464 > 3$ , so  $\epsilon_1/\beta < \frac{0.3}{3} = \frac{1}{10}$ . Hence  $\epsilon_{n+1} < \beta(\epsilon_1/\beta)^{2^n} < \beta 10^{-2^n} < 4 \cdot 10^{-2^n}$ . So  $\epsilon_5 < 4 \cdot 10^{-16}$ ,  $\epsilon_6 < 4 \cdot 10^{-32}$ .

### Problem 3.

We show first that  $\{d(p_n, q_n)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Indeed, fix  $\epsilon > 0$ : there exists  $N$  such that if  $m, n \geq N$  then  $d(p_n, p_m) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . Similarly there exists  $N'$  such that if  $m, n \geq N'$  then  $d(q_n, q_m) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . Let  $m, n \geq \max(N, N')$ : then  $d(p_n, q_n) \leq d(p_n, p_m) + d(p_m, q_m) + d(q_m, q_n) < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + d(p_m, q_m) + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = d(p_m, q_m) + \epsilon$ , and similarly (exchanging  $m$  and  $n$ )  $d(p_m, q_m) < d(p_n, q_n) + \epsilon$ . So  $|d(p_n, q_n) - d(p_m, q_m)| < \epsilon$  for all  $m, n \geq \max(N, N')$ . Therefore  $\{d(p_n, q_n)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$ ; since  $\mathbb{R}$  is complete, it converges.

### Problem 4.

(a) Let  $\{p_n\}$ ,  $\{q_n\}$  and  $\{r_n\}$  be Cauchy sequences. The first two properties of an equivalence relation are clearly satisfied: first,  $d(p_n, p_n) = 0 \forall n$ , so  $\lim d(p_n, p_n) = 0$ , and therefore  $\{p_n\} \sim \{p_n\}$ . Moreover, if  $\{p_n\} \sim \{q_n\}$  then by definition  $\lim d(p_n, q_n) = 0$ , so  $\lim d(q_n, p_n) = 0$  and  $\{q_n\} \sim \{p_n\}$ . Finally, if  $\{p_n\} \sim \{q_n\}$  and  $\{q_n\} \sim \{r_n\}$ , observe that  $0 \leq d(p_n, r_n) \leq d(p_n, q_n) + d(q_n, r_n)$ ; since by Problem 4 these sequences all converge,  $0 \leq \lim d(p_n, r_n) \leq \lim d(p_n, q_n) + \lim d(q_n, r_n) = 0 + 0 = 0$ . Therefore  $d(p_n, r_n) \rightarrow 0$ , and we conclude that  $\{p_n\} \sim \{r_n\}$ . So  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation.

(b) Let  $P, Q \in X^*$ ; let  $\{p_n\}, \{p'_n\}$  be equivalent sequences representing  $P$ , and let  $\{q_n\}, \{q'_n\}$  be equivalent sequences representing  $Q$ . Since  $d(p'_n, q'_n) \leq d(p'_n, p_n) + d(p_n, q_n) + d(q_n, q'_n)$ , we have  $\lim d(p'_n, q'_n) \leq \lim d(p'_n, p_n) + \lim d(p_n, q_n) + \lim d(q_n, q'_n)$ ; since the first and third term converge to 0, we get  $\lim d(p'_n, q'_n) \leq \lim d(p_n, q_n)$ . Reversing the roles of  $p_n$  and  $p'_n$  and of  $q_n$  and  $q'_n$ , we also have the converse inequality; so  $\lim d(p'_n, q'_n) = \lim d(p_n, q_n)$ , and therefore  $\Delta(P, Q)$  is well-defined.

Next, we prove that  $\Delta$  is a distance: let  $\{p_n\}, \{q_n\}, \{r_n\}$  be Cauchy sequences in  $X$ , representing elements  $P, Q, R \in X^*$ . First, since  $d(p_n, q_n) \geq 0 \forall n$ , we get that  $\Delta(P, Q) = \lim d(p_n, q_n) \geq 0$ . Moreover  $\Delta(P, Q) = 0$  if and only if  $d(p_n, q_n) \rightarrow 0$ , i.e. if and only if  $\{p_n\}$  and  $\{q_n\}$  are equivalent, i.e. if and only if  $P = Q$ . Next, observe that  $\Delta(P, Q) = \lim d(p_n, q_n) = \lim d(q_n, p_n) = \Delta(Q, P)$ . Finally we check the triangle inequality: since  $d(p_n, q_n) \leq d(p_n, r_n) + d(r_n, q_n)$ , we have  $\Delta(P, Q) = \lim d(p_n, q_n) \leq \lim d(p_n, r_n) + \lim d(r_n, q_n) = \Delta(P, R) + \Delta(R, Q)$ . So  $\Delta$  is a distance function.

(c) Let  $\{P_n\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $(X^*, \Delta)$ , and choose a representative  $\{p_{nk}\}$  for each  $P_n$ . Since  $\{p_{nk}\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ , there exists an integer  $K_n$  such that if  $k, l \geq K_n$  then  $d(p_{nk}, p_{nl}) < \frac{1}{n}$ . Let  $q_n = p_{nK_n}$ .

We first show that  $\{q_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ . For this purpose, observe that, for every value of  $k$ ,  $d(q_n, q_m) \leq d(q_n, p_{nk}) + d(p_{nk}, p_{mk}) + d(p_{mk}, q_m)$ ; in particular, if  $k \geq \max(K_n, K_m)$ ,  $d(q_n, p_{nk}) = d(p_{nK_n}, p_{nk}) < \frac{1}{n}$ , by definition of  $K_n$ , and similarly  $d(p_{mk}, q_m) = d(p_{mk}, p_{mK_m}) < \frac{1}{m}$ . So for  $k \geq \max(K_n, K_m)$  we have  $d(q_n, q_m) < \frac{1}{n} + d(p_{nk}, p_{mk}) + \frac{1}{m}$ . When  $k \rightarrow \infty$  the right-hand side converges to  $\frac{1}{n} + \Delta(P_n, P_m) + \frac{1}{m}$  by definition of  $\Delta(P_n, P_m)$ ; so we conclude that  $d(q_n, q_m) \leq \frac{1}{n} + \Delta(P_n, P_m) + \frac{1}{m}$ . Fix a constant  $\epsilon > 0$ : since  $\{P_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(X^*, \Delta)$ , there exists  $N$  such that  $\forall n, m \geq N$ ,  $\Delta(P_n, P_m) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . Increasing  $N$  if necessary we can also assume that  $\frac{1}{N} < \frac{\epsilon}{4}$ . We conclude that, if  $n, m \geq N$ , then  $d(q_n, q_m) < \frac{1}{N} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{1}{N} < \epsilon$ . So  $\{q_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(X, d)$ , and we can define  $Q \in X^*$  to be its equivalence class.

We now show that  $P_n \rightarrow Q$ . Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ , and let  $N$  be such that  $d(q_n, q_m) < \epsilon \forall n, m \geq N$  (such an  $N$  exists because  $\{q_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence). Let  $n \geq N$  and  $m \geq \max(N, K_n)$ : then  $d(p_{nm}, q_m) \leq d(p_{nm}, q_n) + d(q_n, q_m)$ . Since  $m \geq K_n$ , the first term is bounded by  $\frac{1}{n}$  (recall  $q_n = p_{nK_n}$ ). Since  $m, n \geq N$  the second term is bounded by  $\epsilon$ . So  $d(p_{nm}, q_m) < \frac{1}{n} + \epsilon$ . If we keep  $n$  fixed and let  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , the left-hand side converges to  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} d(p_{nm}, q_m) = \Delta(P_n, Q)$ . So we conclude that  $\Delta(P_n, Q) \leq \frac{1}{n} + \epsilon$  for all  $n \geq N$ . Increasing  $N$  if necessary we can assume that  $\frac{1}{N} < \epsilon$ ; the conclusion becomes:  $\forall n \geq N$ ,  $\Delta(P_n, Q) < 2\epsilon$ . Since  $2\epsilon$  can be chosen as small as desired, we conclude that  $P_n \rightarrow Q$  (if one insists on getting  $\Delta(P_n, Q) < \epsilon$  one can also replace  $\epsilon$  by  $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$  in the preceding sentences).

(d) Let  $p, q \in X$ , and let  $P_p = \phi(p)$ ,  $P_q = \phi(q)$ . The constant sequences defined by  $p_n = p$  and  $q_n = q$  represent  $P_p$  and  $P_q$  respectively, by definition. We have  $d(p_n, q_n) = d(p, q)$ , so  $\Delta(P_p, P_q) = \lim d(p_n, q_n) = d(p, q)$ .

(e) Let  $P \in X^*$ , and let  $\{p_n\}$  be a Cauchy sequence representing  $P$ . Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ : there exists  $N$  such that  $\forall m, n \geq N$ ,  $d(p_n, p_m) < \epsilon$ . Consider the constant sequence  $q_n = p_N$ , which represents the element  $P_{p_N} = \phi(p_N)$  in  $X^*$ . For all  $n \geq N$  we have  $d(p_n, q_n) = d(p_n, p_N) < \epsilon$ , so taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we conclude that  $\Delta(P, P_{p_N}) = \lim d(p_n, q_n) \leq \epsilon$ . So we have shown that there exist elements of  $\phi(X)$  which lie at arbitrarily small distance from  $P$ . In other words, every element  $P \in X^*$  belongs to  $\overline{\phi(X)}$ . We conclude that  $\phi(X)$  is dense in  $X^*$ .

Assume that  $X$  is complete: then let  $P \in X^*$ , and let  $\{p_n\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $X$  representing  $P$ . Since  $X$  is complete, the sequence  $\{p_n\}$  converges to some limit  $q \in X$ . Consider the constant sequence  $q_n = q$  representing  $P_q = \phi(q)$ : since  $p_n \rightarrow q$ , we have  $d(p_n, q_n) = d(p_n, q) \rightarrow 0$ , so the sequences  $\{p_n\}$  and  $\{q_n\}$  are equivalent (in the sense of part (a)). Therefore they represent the same element in  $X^*$ : we have  $P = \phi(q)$ . So every element of  $X^*$  belongs to  $\phi(X)$ , and we conclude that  $\phi(X) = X^*$ .