

Math 191 Notes, 2003 September 30

30 minute quiz on Thursday, October 9 (not Oct 7 as stated in the syllabus), covers Chapter 1 and Chapter 2.

Independence

Pairwise independence isn't good enough.

Random Variable

A guinea pig is a rodent not a pig. A random variable is a function not a variable. To be precise,

$$XX : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

There is no mention of probability. In order for this to be useful, we need certain events to be able to be assigned probabilities. The events of interest are: $\{\omega \in \Omega | XX(\omega) \leq x\}$.

For example, flip a coin, then another coin, giving the space $\{HH, HT, TH, TT\}$. Let XX_1 be: the number of heads, then $\{2, 1, 1, 0\}$. Let XX_2 be: start with \$1 bet everything on heads twice in a row, final assets $\{4, 0, 0, 0\}$. Let XX_3 be: bet \$1 on first flip, \$2 on second flip – net gain $\{3, -1, 1, -3\}$. Let XX_4 be: bet \$2, then \$4 on 2nd if heads first, \$1 on 2nd if tails first – net gain $\{6, -2, -1, -3\}$. Let YY be: pick a random real in $[0, 1]$ and square it $\mathbb{P}(\frac{1}{4}) = 0$. That's why we need to use intervals, not equals, because otherwise the actual probability will be zero.

Distribution Function

A distribution function introduces probability.

$$F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$$

$$F(x) = \mathbb{P}(XX \leq x)$$

Case X_2 : see diagram, we must assign values for every real number. Case X_1 : see diagram.

Take any number in $[0, 1]$, square it. Probability distribution of having anything less than the result is the following graph.

Any more complicated, and we need to use integrals.

One last case: Bernoulli. This is the case where $\mathbb{P}(H) = p, \mathbb{P}(T) = 1 - p, XX(H) = 1, XX(T) = 0$. Indicator function expressing event A , indicator function:

$$I_A(\omega) = \{1 \text{ if } \omega \in A, 0 \text{ if } \omega \in A^c\}$$

For example, take 3 coin flips. Make 2x2 tables.

Law of Averages, Weak Law of Large Numbers

$$S_3 = I_1 + I_2 + I_3$$

Assume $p = 1/2$ Think about S_n/n for very large n . We would like to see the graph for that, so that it is likely that the vertical jump should be mostly at p . We should like to prove that

$$P(p - \epsilon \leq \frac{S_n}{n} \leq p + \epsilon)$$

We expect that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$ of the above will be 1.

Proof! We would like to calculate the following probability, where $q = 1 - p$, and m is the smallest integer $\geq n(p + \epsilon)$. The strategy is to extend the lower limit of summation to 0 so that you can use the binomial theorem, and the extra factors that we are allowing are factors $\alpha^k \beta^{n-k}$:

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{S_n}{n} \geq p + \epsilon\right) = \sum_{k=m}^n \binom{n}{k} p^k q^{n-k}$$

Choose $\lambda > 0$, multiply each term by $e^{\lambda(k-n(p+\epsilon))} = e^{-\lambda n \epsilon} e^{-\lambda n p} e^{\lambda k p} e^{\lambda k q}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{S_n}{n} \geq p + \epsilon\right) &\leq e^{-\lambda n \epsilon} \sum_{k=m}^n \binom{n}{k} p^k e^{\lambda k q} q^{n-k} e^{-\lambda(n-k)p} \\ &\leq e^{-\lambda n \epsilon} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (pe^{\lambda q})^k (qe^{-\lambda p})^{n-k} \\ &= e^{-\lambda n \epsilon} (pe^{\lambda q} + qe^{-\lambda p})^n \end{aligned}$$

Aside: $e^x \leq e^{x^2} + x$ for all real x .

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= e^{x^2} + x - e^x \\ f'(x) &= 2xe^{x^2} + 1 - e^x \\ f''(x) &= 4x^2e^{x^2} + 2e^{x^2} - e^x \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the fact that this is greater than zero is that $(x - 1/2)^2 \geq 0$, then exponentiate both sides after moving stuff around and use the fact that $e^{1/4} < 2$.

Back to the proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{S_n}{n} \geq p + \epsilon\right) &= e^{-\lambda n \epsilon} (pe^{\lambda q} + qe^{-\lambda p})^n \\ &\leq e^{-\lambda n \epsilon} \left(pe^{\lambda^2 q^2} + p\lambda q + qe^{-\lambda^2 p^2} - q\lambda p\right)^n \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq e^{-\lambda n \epsilon} \left(p e^{\lambda^2} + q e^{\lambda^2} \right)^n = e^{-\lambda n \epsilon} e^{\lambda^2 n}$$

Minimize the exponent by choosing $\lambda = \epsilon/2$, then we have:

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\frac{S_n}{n} \geq p + \epsilon \right) \leq e^{-n \epsilon^2 / 4}$$

This proof was by Bernstein.